# **Muoproduction of**  $J/\psi$  **and the gluon distribution of the nucleon**

European Muon Collaboration

J. Ashman<sup>12</sup>, B. Badelek<sup>16,a</sup>, G. Baum<sup>18,b</sup>, J. Beaufays <sup>2,c</sup>, C.P. Bee ', C. Benchouk <sup>8</sup>, I.G. Bird<sup>3,a</sup>, S.C. Brown <sup>7,e</sup>, M.C. Caputo<sup>18,1</sup>, H.W.K. Cheung<sup>10,g</sup>, J.S. Chima<sup>11, a</sup>, J. Ciborowski<sup>16,a</sup>, R. Clifft<sup>11</sup>, G. Coignet<sup>6</sup>, F. Combley<sup>12</sup>, G. Court ', G. d'Agostini ", J. Drees '', M. Düren ', N. Dyce ", A.W. Edwards ''', M. Edwards '', T. Ernst ", M.I. Ferrero<sup>15</sup>, D. Francis ', E. Gabathuler ', J. Gajewski<sup>16</sup>, R. Gamet ', V. Gibson<sup>10, j</sup>, J. Gillies<sup>10,k</sup>, P. Grafström<sup>14,1</sup>, K. Hamacher  $^{\prime}$ , D. von Harrach  $4^{\prime}$ , P. Hayman  $^{\prime}$ , J.R. Holt  $^{\prime}$ , V.W. Hughes  $^{\prime}$ , A. Jacholkowska  $^{2,n}$ , T. Jones  $^{\prime}$ , E.M. Kabuss<sup>4,m</sup>, B. Korzen<sup>17</sup>, U. Krüner<sup>17</sup>, S. Kullander<sup>14</sup>, U. Landgraf<sup>3</sup>, D. Lanske<sup>1</sup>, F. Lettenström<sup>14,0</sup>, T. Lindqvist<sup>14</sup>, M. Matthews ', Y. Mizuno<sup>4, p</sup>, K. Mönig<sup>1</sup>', F. Montanet °, J. Nassalski<sup>13, q</sup>, T. Niinikoski <sup>2</sup>, P.R. Norton<sup>11</sup>, F.G. Oakham<sup>11,t</sup>, R.F. Oppenheim<sup>18,s</sup>, A.M. Osborne<sup>2</sup>, V. Papavassiliou<sup>18</sup>, N. Pavel<sup>17,t</sup>, C. Peroni<sup>13</sup>, H. Peschel<sup>17,a</sup>, R. Piegaia<sup>18,4</sup>, B. Pietrzyk<sup>8</sup>, B. Povh<sup>4</sup>, P. Renton<sup>10</sup>, J.M. Rieubland<sup>2</sup>, K. Rith<sup>4</sup>, E. Rondio<sup>16,a</sup>, L. Ropelewski<sup>10,a</sup>, D. Salmon<sup>12,k</sup>, A. Sandacz<sup>13,a</sup>, T. Schröder<sup>3</sup>, K.P. Schüler<sup>16</sup>, K. Schultze<sup>1</sup>, T.-A. Shibata<sup>4</sup>, T. Sloan<sup>3</sup>, A. Staiano<sup>13</sup>, H.E. Stier<sup>3</sup>, J. Stock<sup>3</sup>, G.N. Taylor<sup>10,v</sup>, J.C. Thompson<sup>11</sup>, T. Walcher<sup>4,m</sup>, J. Toth <sup>6,w</sup>, L. Urban<sup>4</sup>, L. Urban<sup>o,w</sup>, W. Wallucks<sup>3</sup>, S. Wheeler<sup>12,1</sup>, W.S.C. Williams<sup>10</sup>, S.J. Wimpenny <sup>7x</sup>, R. Windmolders<sup>9</sup>, J. Womersley<sup>10, y</sup>, K. Ziemons<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> III Physikalisches Institut A, Physikzentrum, RWTH, W-5100 Aachen, Federal Republic of Germany

- <sup>2</sup> CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland<br><sup>3</sup> Eeknität für Physik, Universität Ereihung
- <sup>3</sup> Fakultät für Physik, Universität Freiburg, W-7800 Freiburg, Federal Republic of Germany
- <sup>4</sup> Max-Planck Institut für Kernphysik, W-6900 Heidelberg, Federal Republic of Germany
- Department of Physics, University of Lancaster, Lancaster LA1 4YB, UK
- 6 Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules, BP110, F-74019 Annecy-le-Vieux, Cedex, France
- 7 Department of Physics, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L693BX, UK
- Centre de Physique des Particules, Faculté des Sciences de Luminy, F-13288 Marseille, France
- Faculté des Sciences, Université de Mons, B-7000 Mons, Belgium
- <sup>10</sup> Nuclear Physics Laboratory, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3RH, UK
- <sup>11</sup> Rutherford and Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot OX11 0QX, UK
- <sup>12</sup> Department of Physics, University of Sheffield, Sheffield \$3 7RH, UK
- <sup>13</sup> Istituto di Fisica, Università di Torino, I-10125, Italy<br><sup>14</sup> Department of Padiation Science, University of University
- <sup>14</sup> Department of Radiation Science, University of Uppsala, S-75121 Uppsala, Sweden<br><sup>15</sup> Institute for Nuclear Studies 00681 Wareau, Poland
- <sup>15</sup> Institute for Nuclear Studies, 00681 Warsaw, Poland<sup>16</sup> Physics Institute University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Po.
- <sup>16</sup> Physics Institute, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland<sup>17</sup> Footbacciob Physik, Universität Wunnertal, W. 5600 Wun
- Fachbereich Physik, Universität Wuppertal, W-5600 Wuppertal, Federal Republic of Germany
- <sup>18</sup> Physics Department, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

Received 1 June 1992

Abstract. Measurements are presented of the inclusive distributions of the  $J/\psi$  meson produced by muons of energy 200 GeV from an ammonia target. The gluon distribution of the nucleon has been derived from the data in the range  $0.04 < x < 0.36$  using a technique based

- \*\*\* Supported by CPBP.01.09
- <sup>a</sup> University of Warsaw, Poland, partly supported by CPBP-01.06
- **b** Permanent address, University of Bielefeld, FRG
- Now at TRASYS, Brussels, Belgium
- Now at NIKHEF-K, AJ Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- Now at TESA. S.A., Renens, Switzerland
- Now at City University, Buenos Aires, Argentina
- $S$  Now at University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, USA
- Now at British Telecom, London, UK
- i Now at Jet, Joint Undertaking, Abingdon, UK
- Now at University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
- Now at R.A.L., Chilton, Didcot, UK
- <sup>1</sup> Now at CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

on the colour singlet model. An arbitrary normalisation factor is required to obtain a reasonable integral of the gluon distribution. Some comments are made on the use of  $J/\psi$  production *by virtual photons* to extract the gluon distribution at HERA.

- m Now at University of Mainz, Mainz, FRG
- " Now at L.A.L., Orsay, France
- ~ Now at University of California, Santa Cruz, USA
- P Now at Osaka University, Osaka, Japan

q Permanent address, Institute for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw, Poland

- Now at NRC, Ottawa, Canada
- Now at AT&T, Bell Laboratories, Naperville, Illinois, USA
- Now at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, FRG
- Now at Gruner and Jahr AG, Itzehoe, FRG
- v Now at University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia
- w Permanent address: Central Research Institute for Physics, Budapest, Hungary
- x Now at University of California, Riverside, USA
- Y Now at University of Florida, Gainesville, USA

<sup>\*</sup> Supported by Bundesministerium für Forschung und Technologie

<sup>\*\*</sup> Supported by CPBP.01.06

## **I Introduction**

The production cross section for  $J/\psi$  mesons by virtual photons has long been thought to be related to the gluon distribution of the nucleon since the process is believed to proceed through the fusion of a photon and a gluon via an intermediate charm-anticharm quark pair [1-3]. A method has been proposed [4] to extract this gluon distribution using the colour singlet model for  $J/\psi$  photoproduction [5]. This method was suggested explicitly for the kinematic region of future experiments at HERA [6]. In this paper measurements of  $J/\psi$  production by 200 GeV positive muons from an ammonia target are described. The measured inclusive  $J/\psi$  distributions are presented and used to define the kinematic region of validity for the method of [4], which is based on perturbative QCD. The method is then used to attempt to extract the gluon distribution of the nucleon. Some observations which are relevant to the determination of this at HERA will be made. Further details of the analysis are described in [7]. A similar analysis of the NMC  $J/\psi$  data from hydrogen and deuterium targets has already been described [8, 9].

#### **2 Experimental method**

The experiment was performed in the M2 muon beam line at the CERN SPS using the EMC forward spectrometer [10] to detect the scattered muon, the fast forward hadrons and decay muons produced in deep inelastic scattering. The spectrometer and the analysis procedures for this phase of the experiment have already been described [11, 12]. The small multiwire proportional chambers (labelled POA, POB, POC, POD and POE in Fig. 1 of  $\lceil 12 \rceil$ ) were installed in the beam region to cover the deadened areas of the other wire chambers. These were essential for the analysis presented here since the apparatus was triggered predominantly by the decay muons from the  $J/\psi$ , the scattered muon being detected mainly in the P0 chamber system.

The target was the 80 cm long polarised target used to study the spin dependence of the proton structure function [11, 12], summing the  $J/\psi$  data over the opposite spin alignments. It consisted mainly of ammonia with a small admixture of helium (10% by weight) having a mean atomic weight of 10.8. Other thinner targets, located about 1 m downstream [13], were not used in this analysis. The data were taken in five separate running periods at the SPS with positive incident muons of energy 200 GeV. The  $J/\psi$  yields per period were consistent within the statistical errors and the data were averaged over all the experimental runs.

## **3 Data reduction**

The data were passed through a chain of analysis programmes in which pattern recognition, track and vertex reconstruction were carried out [12]. Events initially reconstructed with a  $\mu^+ \mu^-$  pair (90% of the sample) or



**Fig. 1.** The  $\mu^+ \mu^-$  invariant mass plot; dashed histogram, all  $\mu^+ \mu^$ pairs; solid histogram,  $\mu^+ \mu^-$  pairs with the scattered muon found

 $\mu^+ \mu^-$  (10% of the sample), which were consistent with having satisfied the trigger requirements, were selected for further analysis. Cuts were applied to the longitudinal vertex position to ensure that the candidate events originated in the polarised target cells and small corrections (5%) werer applied for events smeared by resolution outside these cuts. Figure 1 (dashed histogram) shows the invariant mass distribution of all resulting  $\mu^+ \mu^-$  pairs in which the muon momenta are greater than 10 GeV. A clear peak at the  $J/\psi$  mass can be seen on a background mainly from deep inelastic scattering events in which the  $\mu^+$  is the scattered muon and the  $\mu^-$  originates from the decay of a  $\pi^-$  or  $K^-$ .

For the sample of  $\mu^+ \mu^-$  pairs (90% of the total) the third muon in the event was searched for in the P0 chamber system from the reconstructed vertex position and the observed hits in the P0 chambers. The method of principal components was employed using the CERN programme LINTRA  $[14]$  followed by momentum fitting with the programme MUDIFI [15]. The muon found by this procedure was assumed to be the scattered muon in the event i.e. the originator of the virtual photon. In the sample of  $\mu^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$  events (10% of the total) found by the initial analysis chain the scattered muon was assumed to be the faster positive muon unless it could be combined with the  $\mu^-$  to produce an event in the  $J/\psi$  mass peak.

The solid histogram in Fig. 1 shows the  $\mu^+ \mu^-$  mass spectrum for all events in which the third muon was sucessfully found. The background under the  $J/\psi$  peak is reduced considerably compared to that observed when the third muon is not found with only a small reduction in the  $J/\psi$  mass peak. Fits of a Gaussian peak plus a smooth background function were performed to the data in Fig. 1 in the range  $2.0 < M_{\mu\mu} < 4.5$  GeV. The mass of the  $J/\psi$  was found to be 3096.9  $\pm$  2.5 MeV, in good agreeTable 1. Kinematic variables



ment with the Particle Data Table [16]. The ratio of the number of  $J/\psi$  events in the solid histogram (from the area of the gaussian peak) to that in the dashed histogram was  $(70.3 \pm 4.2)\%$ . This was taken to be the efficiency of the P0 track finding procedure and all cross sections are corrected for this inefficiency. The continuum in the mass spectrum below the  $J/\psi$  mass in the solid histogram (Fig. 1) is thought to originate mainly from QED processes such as trident production.

For this analysis, the standard variables of deep inelastic scattering are defined in Table 1. To compute the apparatus acceptance a Monte Carlo simulation was used. This requires an input generator for  $J/\psi$  mesons which was deduced from the data as follows. The measured event distributions in  $Q^2$ , v, Z and  $P_t^2$  were used to generate an initial sample of Monte Carlo events, from which the acceptance corrections for the data were derived. The corrected data distributions were then used to generate the next sample of Monte Carlo events, from which the acceptance corrections were re-evaluated. The process was iterated until the changes in the corrected distributions were small and the ratios of the data to the Monte Carlo distributions were flat. Four such iterations were needed. The distributions of the decay angles of the  $J/\psi$ , were assumed to be uniform throughout. The earlier EMC [3] and the NMC [9] measurements show that this is approximately true at low Z and high  $P_{\tau}$ as expected theoretically [17]. This may not be the case in the diffractive region. The acceptance changed by 16% if a  $1 + \cos^2 \theta$  decay angular distribution is used rather than a flat distribution and this is taken to be a contribution to the normalisation systematic error. Here  $\theta$  is the polar angle of the decay muon from the  $J/\psi$  relative to the line of flight of the  $J/\psi$  in its rest frame.

An additional kinematic cut, demanding  $50 < v < 180$  GeV, was applied to the data to reject regions of small or rapidly varying acceptance. The number of  $J/\psi$  events satisfying all cuts was 274. Figure 2 shows the acceptance as a function of the kinematic variables for the final sample. The spike in the acceptance in Z can be understood in terms of resolution smearing. Events with  $Z > 1.1$  are rejected and the remaining events with  $Z > 1.0$  are folded over into the upper Z bins. Events in the bin  $0.95 \leq z < 1.0$  are smeared down into the bin  $0.9 < z < 0.95$  with comparatively few smeared in the opposite direction because of better measurement resolution at lower Z and smaller cross sections. Hence the



Fig. 2.  $J/\psi$  Acceptance in the generated variables after kinematic cuts

 $10 - 1$ 

1 10

 $P<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup>(GeV/c)$ 

0.4 ~6 0.8 1.0

 $\mathbf 0$ 

2

acceptance correction is rather different for the bin  $0.9 < Z < 0.95$  than it is for  $0.95 < Z < 1.0$ .

The number of  $J/\psi$  events in each kinematic bin was obtained from the range  $2.975 < M_{uu} < 3.2$  GeV. The backgrounds under the peak in each bin  $(< 7\%)$  were estimated from the numbers of events in control regions on each side of the peak  $(2.0 < M_{\mu\nu} < 2.875$  GeV and  $3.3 < M_{\mu\mu}$  < 4.5 GeV) normalised to the *J*/ $\psi$  mass range. The cross sections in each bin were deduced from the event yields, the target thickness  $(39.4 \text{ gms/cm}^2)$ , the number of incident muons within the beam phase space [18]  $(30.9 \pm 0.8 \times 10^{11})$  and the branching ratio of  $J/\psi$  $\rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$  (0.069 [16]). Radiative corrections were applied using the procedure of Mo and Tsai [19] with a parameterisation of the cross sections for  $J/\psi$  production measured in [3]. These corrections were always less than 10%. The reconstruction efficiency for each decay muon was estimated to be  $88 \pm 6\%$  [20] where the uncertainty is derived from the variation amongst different methods used to estimate it. Combining this error with the uncertainty in the P0 track reconstruction software  $(6.0\%)$ . the uncertainty in the number of incident muons (2.6%) and that due to lack of knowledge of the precise decay angular distribution of the  $J/\psi$  (16%), gives together with the error in the  $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$  branching ratio [16] (13%) an overall normalisation systematic error of 23%.

## **4 Results**

#### *4.I The measured cross section*

Following the procedure in [3] the cross sections were measured as a function of  $Q^2$  and fits were made of a propagator form  $\sigma(Q^2=0)/\left(1+\frac{Q^2}{M^2}\right)^2$ . The value of the  $J/\psi$  photoproduction cross section,  $\sigma(Q^2=0)$ , was

found to be  $36 \pm 3$  (stat.) $\pm 7$  (syst.) nb. Table 2 gives the measured cross sections as a function of Z and  $P_t^2$ , and these are shown in Fig. 3 and compared to the data of [3]. There is reasonable agreement over most of the kinematic range. However, at low  $P_t^2$  and high Z the data from [3] tend to lie above those presented here. In the highest Z and lowest  $P_t^2$  bin this arises from the stronger coherent production from the larger target iron nucleus of  $[3]$  than for the nuclei of ammonia  $(NH<sub>2</sub>)$ used in this experiment. The excess signal in [3] at low  $P_1^2$  for  $0.95 > Z > 0.8$  arises from the smearing of the coherently produced events due to the rather poor resolution in that experiment E3] which had a thick calorimeter target. Thus at least part of the excess signal in the production of  $J/\psi$  from iron compared to deuterium reported in [21] is probably due to such smearing of the coherent signal. Recently NMC [22] have reported a slight excess signal in  $J/\psi$  production from tin with respect to carbon which may arise from excess gluons in the heavier nucleus.

The data in Fig. 3 were integrated over  $P_t^2$  to obtain the photoproduction ( $Q^2=0$ ) cross section,  $\frac{d\sigma}{dZ}$ , shown in Fig. 4. Comparison is made with other measurements. There are some differences between the measurements due, in part, to the different selection procedures and coherent contributions in each experiment. However, the trend of the data is clear. The dashed curve shows the prediction of a higher order photon gluon fusion calcula-



Fig. 3. The photoproduction  $(Q^2=0)$  cross sections  $d^2\sigma/dZ dP_t^2$ from this experiment (open points) and the NA2 iron data [3] (solid points)



**Fig. 4.**  $d\sigma/dZ$  world data. The dash-dotted curve is the prediction of the colour singlet model [5], the dashed curve is  $d^2\sigma/dZ dP_t^2$ for  $P_t^2 = 1$  GeV<sup>2</sup> predicted by [23]. Both curves are arbitrarily normalised. The data are from NA14 [24], BFP [25], FTPS [26] EMC [3], and NMC [36]. The FTPS and NA14 data are inelastic only as demanded by the presence of other particle tracks in addition to the  $J/\psi$ 

**Table 2.** The  $J/\psi$  differential virtual photoproduction cross sections  $(Q^2=0) d^2\sigma/dZ dP^2$  nb(GeV/c<sup>2</sup>)<sup>-2</sup>, normalised to a total cross section of 36 nb, (not corrected for coherence)

Ζ	$P_t^2$ (GeV/c <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>						
	$0 - 0.18$	$0.18 - 0.32$	$0.32 - 0.56$	$0.56 - 1.0$	$1.0 - 1.8$	$1.8 - 3.2$	$3.2 - 5.6$
$0.4 - 0.6$	$19.0 + 9.8$	$11.9 + 9.9$	$6.0 + 4.4$	$4.7 + 3.2$	$3.7 + 2.0$	$1.5 + 1.2$	
$0.6 - 0.7$	$21.2 + 13.0$	$5.3 + 5.5$	$13.7 + 10.2$	$17.2 + 7.3$	$7.2 + 3.9$	$5.0 + 2.9$	
$0.7 - 0.8$	$58.8 + 21.0$	$17.7 + 13.7$	$19.6 + 12.2$	$9.9 + 5.4$	$9.0 + 4.4$	$7.5 + 3.1$	$2.5 + 2.4$
$0.8 - 0.9$	$24.2 + 13.3$	$22.2 + 13.5$	$15.7 + 9.9$	$16.9 + 8.1$	$8.8 + 4.0$	$3.8 + 1.9$	$0.6 + 0.6$
$0.9 - 0.95$	$192.8 + 45.8$	$70.3 + 30.2$	$24.1 + 12.6$	$23.2 + 9.0$	$9.9 + 4.8$	$6.4 + 2.6$	$2.4 + 3.4$
$0.95 - 1.0$	$1091.3 + 149.2$	$231.9 + 73.1$	$143.1 + 42.2$	$160.2 + 34.9$	$29.0 + 10.6$	$6.5 + 4.0$	$2.9 + 2.3$

tion by Duke and Owens [23] and the dash-dotted curve shows the predictions of the colour singlet model of Berger and Jones [5]. The normalisation of these curves is arbitrary. For  $Z < 0.9$  the data favour the shallower Z variation preferred by the colour singlet model to the much steeper dependence predicted by the Duke and Owens model. The latter model has many graphs involving gluon bremsstrahlung and the steep Z variation predicted seems to be favoured for  $Z > 0.9$  in the approach to the diffractive region.

A potentially large source of background in  $J/\psi$  production in the inelastic region could come from elastic and inelastic  $\psi'$  production with decay to  $J/\psi \pi \pi$ (branching ratio 55%  $\lceil 16 \rceil$ ). The total cross section for  $\psi$  production has been measured to be 20% of that for  $J/\psi$  [3, 9, 24]. This background is difficult to assess since the  $\psi'$  distributions have never been measured. A Monte Carlo simulation of  $\psi'$  production was made, assuming that the  $\psi'$  distributions are identical to those of the  $J/\psi$  and normalising the total cross section to the measured value. The background from  $\psi'$  production, using this simulation, was found to vary between 0–40% over the  $Z-P_t^2$  region of this experiment (Fig. 3). The data in Figs. 3 and 4 are not corrected for this background.

#### *4.2 The gluon distribution of the nucleon*

The method used to obtain the gluon distribution of the target nucleon,  $G(x, \hat{Q}^2)$ , is to divide the measured  $J/\psi$  production cross section by the cross section for the production of the  $J/\psi$  from photon-gluon scattering, calculated by perturbative QCD from the colour singlet model [5]. In this model (with  $\hbar = c = 1$ ) the cross section for  $J/\psi$  production by real photons ( $Q^2 = 0$ ) from a nucleon is given by

$$
\frac{d^2 \sigma}{d Z d P_t^2} = \frac{128 \pi^2 G(x, \hat{Q}^2) m_{\psi} \alpha_s^2 \alpha e_q^2 |R_s(0)|^2}{3 s [m_{\psi}^2 (1 - Z) + P_t^2]} \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{M_T^4} + \frac{(1 - Z)^4}{K_T^4} + \frac{Z^4 P_t^4}{M_T^4 K_T^4} \right],
$$
(1)

with

$$
M_T^2 = m_\psi^2 + P_t^2 \quad \text{and} \quad K_T^2 = m_\psi^2 (1 - Z)^2 + P_t^2. \tag{2}
$$

Here  $m_{\psi}$  is the mass of the  $J/\psi$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha_s$  the QED and QCD coupling constants, respectively and  $e_a$  is the electric charge of the charmed quark. The  $J/\psi$  radial wave function at the origin,  $R_s(0)$ , is determined from the observed leptonic width using

$$
\Gamma(J/\psi \to e \, e) = 16 \pi \alpha^2 \, e_q^2 \, |R_s(0)|^2 / m_\psi^2 = 4.7 \, \text{keV}.
$$
 (3)

 $\hat{Q}^2$ , the energy scale probed is taken to be  $m^2_{\psi}$  and x, the fraction of the momentum of the target nucleon carried by the initial state gluon is given by

$$
x = \frac{\hat{s}}{s} = \frac{1}{s} \left[ \frac{m_{\psi}^2}{Z} + \frac{P_t^2}{(1 - Z) Z} \right],\tag{4}
$$

where  $\frac{f}{s}$  is the photon-nucleon and  $\frac{f}{s}$  the photongluon centre of mass energy, respectively.

Following the suggestion of Martin, Ng and Stirling [4] we compute the muon-gluon cross section for  $\mu$ g $\rightarrow$ *J/* $\psi$ *g* using (1) setting the gluon density term  $G(x, \hat{Q}^2)$  to unity. Since we are computing a muoproduction cross section, (1) is multiplied by the virtual flux factor [33] and a propagator term

$$
\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{Q^2}{M^2}\right)^2}.
$$

The accuracy of such a formalism has been demonstrated  $[8, 27]$ . The calculated cross sections are then integrated over the measured variables  $Q^2$ , v, Z,  $P_t^2$  in a fixed interval of  $x$  by Monte Carlo. The integrals are evaluated over the kinematic range covered by the data  $(50 < v < 180 \text{ GeV}, 0.4 < Z < 0.95, P_t^2 > 0.1 \text{ GeV}^2 \text{ and}$  $Q_{\min}^2 < Q^2 < Q_{\max}^2$  where  $Q_{\min}^2$  and  $Q_{\max}^2$  represent the kinematic limits).

The ranges in Z and  $P_t^2$  were chosen to define an inelastic region in which the colour singlet model describes the data. Such a region must be free from diffractive and other non perturbative effects expected to occur at high Z and low  $P_t^2$ . This region was chosen by evaluating  $xG(x, \hat{O}^2)$  averaged over the whole x range, using various low  $P_t^2$  and high Z cuts, and selecting the range in which  $xG(x, \hat{Q}^2)$  is constant. Figure 5 shows the values of  $xG(x, \hat{Q}^2)$  obtained. It can be seen that  $xG(x, \hat{Q}^2)$  rises, if the inelastic region is extended too high in  $\overline{Z}$  or too low in  $P_t^2$ , due to the onset of such non perturbative effects. The region of constant  $xG(x, \hat{Q}^2)$  chosen was  $Z < 0.95$  and  $P_t^2 > 0.1$  GeV<sup>2</sup>. After selecting this region 130 events remained. The measured  $Q^2$  dependence of the cross section in this region has been fitted to the propagator form giving a propagator mass  $M=2.75\pm0.54$  GeV/c<sup>2</sup> which was used in the calculation of the integrals described above.



Fig. 5.  $xG(x, \hat{Q}^2)$  averaged over all x as a function of the allowed maximum Z for different minimum  $P_t^2$ . It should be noted that the error bars are absolutely correlated since the data with lower maximum Z in each plot is a subsample of those with higher maximum Z

Table 3. The gluon densities



The data were then divided into four intervals of x and the cross sections and integrals were derived (see Table 3). The integrals in Table 3 were calculated with  $\alpha_s = 1.0$  rather than the accepted value  $\alpha_s(m_\omega^2)=0.3$  [16] since it was found to be necessary to apply an arbitrary normalisation factor,  $K^2$ . This can be seen from Fig. 5 where the mean value of  $xG(x, \hat{Q}^2)$  of 4.7  $\pm$  0.5 computed with the standard value of  $\alpha_s$  is larger than that expected from parameterisations of deep inelastic structure function measurements [28-31]. At the mean x of the data  $(\langle x \rangle = 0.13)$  this is expected to be close to unity. Previous measurements  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$  have also shown that the colour singlet model predicts cross sections lower than the data.

The arbitrary normalisation factor  $K^2$  was determined from a fit of the form

$$
xG(x, \hat{Q}^2) = (K\alpha_s)^2 \frac{n+1}{2} (1-x)^n
$$

to the values of  $xG(x, \hat{Q}^2)$ . The value of  $K\alpha_s$  was chosen so that  $\int xG(x, \hat{O}^2) dx = 0.5$ , the known fraction of the nucleon's momentum carried by gluons [32]. The data in Table 3 cover  $\sim$  70% of this integral. The fit gave

 $K \alpha_s = 0.71 \pm 0.04$  (stat.)  $\pm 0.08$  (syst.)

and

$$
n = 5.7 \pm 1.5
$$
(stat.) $\pm 1.0$ (syst.).

The systematic errors were derived from a study [7] of the sensitivity of the fit to the cuts applied and to the assumed propagator mass, M. Taking  $\alpha_s = 0.3$ , the arbitrary normalisation factor is  $K^2 = 5.6 \pm 0.6$ (stat.)  $\pm$  1.3(syst.). The final column of Table 3 gives the values of  $xG(x, \hat{Q}^2)$  which include this arbitrary normalisation. Figure 6 shows these values as a function of  $x$  together with the fit and the results from the NMC [9]. There is good agreement between the two sets of measurements. The data in Fig. 6 are not corrected for the background from  $\psi'$  decays which, using the simulation of  $\psi'$  production described above, was estimated to be 20% of the measured cross section in the smallest  $x$  bin for this experiment, falling to  $13\%$  in the highest x bin.

The arbitrary normalisation factor reported in the NMC analysis [9] was  $2.4 \pm 0.4$ . However, they include in (1) the leading order QCD radiative correction factor to the leptonic width  $\Gamma_{ee}$  of  $(1 - 16\alpha_s(M^2_{\mu})/3\pi)$ , suggested by Barbieri et al. [34]. Applying such a correction, the arbitrary normalisation factor for the data reported here



Fig. 6. The normalised gluon distribution,  $xG(x, \hat{O}^2)$  (Table 3) together with the NMC  $J/\psi$  data [9]. The curve is a fitted parameterisation of the data in Table 3

would be  $2.7\pm0.7$ , in good agreement with the NMC value.

Such large values of the normalisation factor cast some doubt on the validity of the procedure described to obtain the gluon distribution of the nucleon from the  $J/\psi$  photoproduction cross sections. Unless we know the reason for such a factor, the spectre of an x dependent effect which would bias the distribution obtained, hangs over the measurement. One is rather encouraged that the leading order QCD radiative correction [34] to the leptonic width of the  $J/\psi$  already explains half the discrepancy in normalisation between the colour singlet model and the data. This may mean that higher order corrections to the leptonic  $J/\psi$  width and to the colour singlet model could be important. The possibility of an x dependent effect would be eliminated if the residual normalisation factor could be explained by such higher order corrections, so removing any doubts. Such higher order effects have not yet been derived. Nevertheless, the gluon distributions obtained seem sensible. This is illustrated in Fig. 7 where the data from Fig. 6 are compared with recent parameterisations of the gluon structure function [28-31, 35]. There is good agreement with



Fig. 7a-d. The gluon distribution from the  $J/\psi$  data compared with parameterisations of the gluon structure function; a DFLM [30], b Morfin-Tung [29], (set 6 and set 3, the best and worst fits); c HMRS and KMRS [28], (HMRSE and KMRSB-, the best and worst fits); d GRV [31] and BGNPZ [35]

all of the parameterisations of [28-30] which were made directly from deep inelastic scattering data. There is also reasonable although somewhat poorer agreement with the dynamically generated parton distributions [31, 36] Fig. 7d). This indicates that, despite the problems alluded to above, the  $J/\psi$  data give a reasonable estimate of the shape of the gluon structure function of the nucleon.

### **5 Conclusions**

The Z and  $P_t^2$  distributions in  $J/\psi$  muoproduction have been presented and used to derive the gluon distribution of the nucleon by the procedure suggested by Martin et al. for higher energy data at HERA. The shape of the gluon distribution obtained shows reasonable agreement with those obtained from parameterisations of deep inelastic scattering data. This indicates that the procedure could be reliable. However, an arbitrary normalisation factor is required to obtain a reasonable value of the integral of the gluon distribution. A significant part of this normalisation factor can be explained by the leading order QCD radiative correction to the leptonic  $J/\psi$ width. However, before the procedure can be said to be a precision method of measuring the gluon distribution of the nucleon at HERA it should be ascertained whether or not the residual arbitrary normalisation factor is due to higher order QCD corrections in order to eliminate the possibility of an x dependent bias in

the normalisation. In addition, measurements of the cross sections for  $\psi'$  production are needed to deduce the background in direct  $J/\psi$  production.

*Acknowledgements.* We thank M de Jong and C Mariotti for helpful comments.

#### **References**

- 1. T. Weiler: Phys. Rev. Lett. 44 (1980) 304; V. Barger, W.Y. Keung, R.J.N. Phillips: Phys. Lett. 91 B (1980) 253
- 2. R. Baler, R. Riickl: Nucl. Phys. B218 (1983) 289
- 3. EMC, JJ. Aubert et al.: Nucl. Phys. B213 (1983) 1
- 4. A.D. Martin, C.K. Ng, W.J. Stirling: Phys. Lett. 191B (1987) 200
- *5. E.L.* Berger, D. Jones: Phys. Rev. D23 (1981) 1521
- 6. S.M. Tkaczyk, W.J. Stirling, D.H. Saxon: RAL-88-041; H. Hung, G.A. Schuler, J. Terron: DESY preprint 92-028 (1992)
- 7. N. Dyce: PhD Thesis, University of Lancaster (1988), RAL-T-073
- 8. M de Jong: PhD Thesis, Free University of Amsterdam (1991)
- 9. NMC, D. Allasia et al.: Phys. Lett. B258 (1991) 493
- 10. EMC, O.C. Allkofer et al.: Nucl. Instrum. Methods 179 (1981) 445
- 11. EMC, J. Ashman et al.: Phys. Lett. 206 (1988) 364
- 12. EMC, J. Ashman et al.: Nucl. Phys. B328 (1989) 1
- 13. EMC, J. Ashman et al.: Phys. Lett. 202 (1988) 603
- 14. R. Brun, M. Hansroul, J. Kubler: CERN DD/EE/79-5 (1980)
- 15. R. Brun, M. Hansroul, H. Wind: CERN DD/EE/80-1 (1981)
- 16. Particle Data Group: Review of Particle Properties, Phys. Lett. 239 (1990)
- 17. J.G. Körner, J. Cleymans, M. Kuroda, G.J. Gounaris: Nucl. Phys. B204 (1982) 6
- 18. R. Mount: Nucl. Instrum. Methods 187 (1981) 401
- 19. L. Mo, Y.S. Tsai: Rev. Mod. Phys. 41 (1965) 205; Y.S. Tsai: SLAC-PUB-848 (1971)
- 20. H.W.K. Cheung: PhD Thesis, University of Oxford (1989), *RAL-%055*
- 21. EMC, J.J. Aubert et al.: Phys. Lett. 152B (1985) 433
- 22. NMC, P. Amaudruz et al.: Nucl. Phys. B371 (1992) 553
- 23. D.W. Duke, J.F. Owens: Phys. Lett. 96B (1980) 184
- 24. NA14, R. Barate et al.: Z. Phys. C Particles and Fields 33 (1987) 505
- 25. BFP, T.W. Markiewicz: PhD Thesis, Lawrence Berkeley Lab (1982); M. Strovink: Proc. of 1981 International Symposium on Leptons and Photon Interactions, Bonn
- 26. FTPS, B.H. Denby et al.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 52 (1984) 795
- 27. K.J. Abraham: Phys. Lett. B240 (1990) 224
- 28. J. Kwiecinski, A.D. Martin, R.G. Roberts, W.J. Stirling; Phys. Rev. D42 (1990) 3645; P. Harriman, A.D. Martin, R.G. Roberts, W.J. Stirling: Phys. Rev. D42 (1990) 798
- 29. J. Morfin, W.K. Tung: Z. Phys.  $C -$  Particles and Fields 52 (1992) 13
- 30. M. Diemoz, F. Ferroni, E. Longo, G. Martinelli: Z. Phys. C **-** Particles and Fields 39 (1988) 21
- 31. M. Gliick, E. Reya, A. Vogt: Z. Phys. C Particles and Fields C53 (1992) 127
- 32. T. Sloan, G. Smadja, R. Voss: Phys. Rep. 162 (1988) 45
- 33. L. Hand: Phys. Rev. 129 (1963) 1834. The transverse photon flux only is calculated since the longitudinal cross section is predicted to be small; T. Weiler: Phys. Rev. Lett. 44 (1980) 304
- 34. R. Barbieri, R. Gatto, E. Remiddi; Phys. Lett. 106B (1981) 497
- 35. V. Barone et al. : Preprint DFTT 8/92 (1992)
- 36. C. Mariotti: PhD Thesis, University of Torino (1992)