Mood and Persuasion: Independent Effects of Affect Before and After Message Processing

GERD BOHNER WAJA APOSTOLIDOU

Department of Social Psychology University of Mannheim, Germany

THE PRESENCE OF POSITIVE AFFECT during the encoding of a persuasive message results in a weaker effect of argument strength on attitudes than the presence of negative affect does; this pattern is reversed, however, when mood is present at judgment (Bless, Mackie, & Schwarz, 1992). These findings can both be explained by the notion that positive mood reduces systematic processing, whereas negative mood enhances it (Schwarz, Bless, & Bohner, 1991). Thus, the presence of positive mood during encoding should decrease message elaboration and thereby reduce the impact of argument strength on subsequent judgments (Petty & Cacioppo, 1986). In contrast, positive mood during judgment should facilitate the recall of a simplified representation of the message, whereas negative mood during judgment should facilitate the recall of a detailed representation of the message. The former process is likely to result in more extreme judgments (Linville & Jones, 1980); thus, judgments that are made in a positive mood should reflect argument strength to a greater degree than judgments that are made in a negative mood

In the present study we further explored the effects of mood on elaboration and judgment, using a different persuasive message and two neutral-mood control conditions. The subjects were 104 students at the University of Mannheim, Germany. The design was a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ (Time of Mood Induction: exposure, judgment \times Mood: happy, sad \times Arguments: strong, weak) factorial, plus two control conditions. To induce mood we asked the subjects in

This research was supported by a grant from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Schw 278/5) to N. Schwarz, H. Bless, and G. Bohner.

Address correspondence to Gerd Bohner, Universität Mannheim, Sozialpsychologie, D-68131 Mannheim, Germany; e-mail: gbohner@mlsowi.sowi.uni-mannheim.de

the exposure conditions to recall a life event that was either happy or sad. The subjects then read a persuasive message that contained eight arguments advocating the fluoridation of drinking water. Next the subjects performed an affectively neutral memory task. Finally, the subjects indicated their attitudes about fluoridation on a six-item scale with responses that ranged from 1 to 9, Cronbach's $\alpha = .93$. In the judgment conditions, the order of mood induction and the neutral task was reversed. The control subjects received no mood induction but performed a neutral task both before and after they read the message. The subjects indicated their current mood before message exposure and before they made their attitude judgments.

The results indicated that the mood inductions were successful before both encoding and judgment. Consistent with the results of Bless et al. (1992), the impact of argument strength was least pronounced when the subjects were in a happy mood during encoding (Ms = 5.08 and 4.50 for strong arguments and weak arguments, respectively) and most pronounced when the subjects were in a happy mood during judgment (Ms = 6.98 vs. 3.43 for strong arguments and weak arguments, respectively), resulting in the predicted three-way interaction, t(75) = 1.72, p < .05, one-tailed.

A comparison between the subjects who were in a happy mood during encoding and the control subjects, who were in a neutral mood throughout (Ms = 6.56 and 3.88 for strong arguments and weak arguments, respectively) yielded a significant Mood × Argument Strength interaction, t(92) = -1.89, p < .04, one-tailed, providing support for the notion that positive affect decreases the systematic processing of message content. Contrary to expectation, the argument effect also seemed to be less pronounced for the subjects who were in a sad mood during message elaboration $(Ms = 5.44 \text{ and } 3.56 \text{ for strong arguments and weak arguments, respectively), than for the control subjects, <math>t(92) = -0.73$, ns. The differences between the mood-at-judgment-conditions and the control conditions were in the predicted direction but were nonsignificant, t(92) = 0.70 and -0.31 for happy and sad mood, respectively.

These results replicate and extend the findings of Bless et al. (1992, Experiment 1). Comparisons with neutral-mood conditions indicated that happy mood during encoding reduces the systematic processing of message content (Schwarz et al., 1991), but there was no evidence that a sad mood increases systematic processing.

Although it is difficult to define "neutral" mood, the impact of mood on information processing does seem to be more definitive at the positive end of the sad-happy continuum. This asymmetry may be attributable to the fact that negative mood is associated with a greater variety of processes (e.g., searching for causal explanations for one's affective state, Bohner, Bless, Schwarz, & Strack, 1988) than positive mood is, possibly counteracting systematic processing. Future researchers of the effects of

mood on message processing should assess more than two levels of affective state.

REFERENCES

- Bless, H., Mackie, D. M., & Schwarz, N. (1992). Mood effects on attitude judgments: The independent effects of mood before and after message elaboration. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 63, 585-595.
- Bohner, G., Bless, H., Schwarz, N., & Strack, F. (1988). What triggers causal attributions? The impact of valence and subjective probability. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 18, 335-345.
- Linville, P. W., & Jones, E. E. (1980). Polarized appraisal of outgroup members. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 38, 689-703.
- Petty, R. E., & Cacioppo, J. T. (1986). Communication and persuasion: Central and peripheral routes to attitude change. New York: Springer.
- Schwarz, N., Bless, H., & Bohner, G. (1991). Mood and persuasion: Affective states influence the processing of persuasive communications. In M. Zanna (Ed.), Advances in experimental social psychology (Vol. 24, pp. 161–199). San Diego: Academic Press.

Received March 2, 1994

		mt, and Circulation	THE COURSE OF SOCIAL PROPERTY.	June 1994	Jane 1994	
THE DOCUMENT OF SOCIAL PROPERTY.		1, 1944 1730er 1, 1944	T tons or the order		-	
31-40127	, 200	PE TUE LONG \$1.7.	a har na Garan nia Anna Ann	2,322	2. 205	
	Com the of the or the	dividuals: \$110.	17 Date of Principle Comments and Comments Street Venture, and Comments Street			
1217 Experience Street W Assumption.			- The Property of Landson	2,03*	. 1.304	
23 Supreme Street, W. Supreme.			L Ven Pay moor Research Creates Sun or claris and 1 Study	2,23*	1.304	
I Advance on Corona many nature of Assert State of			Control of the Contro			
Water S. Beers, Year Dright had Decor			. *** ****** **** *****	,	1 ,	
1317 Eighteenth Street, W. Aunumpton.	CC 20036+1902		I had the destroy that or 18 and the	-		
Legnary 4. Decs. Tale Triversity To See 114. Nov Yaves, 27 08120-7447			, to beauty department #	2.394	2.33:	
70 (mg)			1 Copys has Communical 11 Office uses authoristic Secured	:59	:54	
1219 Eigniesenn firmen. W. Hankington, 1			2 1000 000 0000	:		
			- Temporary risk, above and about	2.232	1.295	
			Trans Paris Principle Co. and	::!!!	::::::	
				144	1-	
	in the same way		Manual Page Coppe Projection Controlled Television Controlled Tele	100 1 Page 1 Page 1	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
More Section Section Control C	The Control of the Co	49. Y 000.	Parameter and Company of the Company	See 2 Comment 2	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	The Control of the Co	wights, TO DITE.	Parameter and Company of the Company	Marita Administration of Page 18	The state of the s	
Marie Santa	The Control of the Co	wights, TO DITE.	The state of the s	March 15 August 2 Des Signature 1 Des Signatur		
Marie Santa	The Control of the Co	wights, TO DITE.	The second secon	The Committee of the Co		