



The maximal length of cloud-antichains

Rudolf Ahlswede^{a,*}, Levon H. Khachatryan^b

^aUniversität Bielefeld, Fakultät für Mathematik, Postfach 8640, 4800 Bielefeld 1, Germany

^bInstitute of Problems of Information and Automation, Armenian Academy of Sciences, Erevan-44, P. Sevak Str. 1, Armenia

Received 13 June 1992

Abstract

For six natural notions of cloud-antichains in a partially ordered set \mathcal{P} we determine asymptotically their maximal lengths if \mathcal{P} is the family of all subsets of a finite set. Actually, in three cases we even have exact results.

1. Introduction

The notion of an antichain in a partially ordered set was generalized [1, 2] to the seemingly natural notion of a “cloud-antichain” $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i=1}^N$. Whereas in antichains *elements* of a partially ordered set are compared, in cloud-antichains *sets of elements* take their role. Elements in different sets \mathcal{A}_i , called clouds, are required to be incomparable. Formally, for every two clouds \mathcal{A}_i and \mathcal{A}_j we have

$$A_i \not\leq A_j \text{ for all } A_i \in \mathcal{A}_i \text{ and all } A_j \in \mathcal{A}_j. \quad (1.1)$$

In [2] further notions of cloud-antichains were introduced. The logical structure of formula (1.1) suggests the idea of an antichain of type (\forall, \forall) ; the new notions in [2] are of the types (\forall, \exists) , (\exists, \forall) , and (\exists, \exists) .

In the sequel we always consider the partially ordered set $\mathcal{P} = 2^{\Omega_n}$, the power set of $\Omega_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, with set-theoretic containment as order relation. $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i=1}^N$ is always a family of subsets of \mathcal{P} . It is said to be of type (\exists, \forall) if, for all $i \neq j$,

$$\text{there exists an } A_i \in \mathcal{A}_i \text{ with } A_i \not\subset A_j \text{ and } A_i \supset A_j \text{ for all } A_j \in \mathcal{A}_j, \quad (1.2)$$

it is of type (\forall, \exists) if, for all $i \neq j$,

$$\text{for all } A_i \in \mathcal{A}_i \text{ there exists an } A_j \in \mathcal{A}_j \text{ with } A_i \not\subset A_j \text{ and } A_i \supset A_j \quad (1.3)$$

and it is of type (\exists, \exists) if, for all $i \neq j$, there exists an $A_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$

$$\text{and there exists an } A_j \in \mathcal{A}_j \text{ with } A_i \not\subset A_j \text{ and } A_i \supset A_j. \quad (1.4)$$

* Corresponding author.

The maximal cardinalities N of such systems as functions of n are denoted by $N_n(\exists, \forall)$, $N_n(\forall, \exists)$, and $N_n(\exists, \exists)$, respectively.

Obviously, an analogously defined quantity $N_n(\forall, \forall)$ equals $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$, because in an optimal configuration $|\mathcal{A}_i| = 1$ and Sperner's classical theorem [6] applies. We also study systems with *disjoint* clouds. The maximal cardinalities are then denoted by $M_n(\exists, \forall)$, $M_n(\forall, \exists)$, and $M_n(\exists, \exists)$, respectively.

We call two functions $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ asymptotically equivalent and write $f(n) \sim g(n)$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 1.$$

All the six functions measuring maximal lengths of cloud-antichains in the cases described are determined up to asymptotic equivalence. Three of the functions are even determined exactly.

2. The results

Theorem 2.1.

$$M_n(\exists, \forall) \sim 2^{n-1}.$$

Theorem 2.2.

$$N_n(\exists, \forall) = \binom{k}{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}, \text{ where } k = \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}.$$

Theorem 2.3.

$$M_n(\forall, \exists) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 2^{n-1} - 1 & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.4.

$$N_n(\forall, \exists) \sim 2^{2^n - 2}.$$

Theorem 2.5.

$$M_n(\exists, \exists) = \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} + \lfloor \frac{2^n - 2 - \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}}{2} \rfloor.$$

Theorem 2.6.

$$N_n(\exists, \exists) \sim 2^{2^n}.$$

The proofs are delegated to the following sections. We begin with those for the exact estimates.

Throughout the paper we use a representation of the partially ordered set (\mathcal{P}, \subset) as sequence space $(\{0, 1\}^n, <)$, where $A \in \mathcal{P}$ corresponds to $S(A) = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ with

$$a_t = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \in A, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \notin A, \end{cases}$$

and the inclusion $A \subset B$ translates into $S(A) < S(B) = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$, which means that $a_t \leq b_t$ for $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.2

We view the cloud-antichain $\{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i=1}^N$ of type (\exists, \forall) in $\{0, 1\}^n$. For $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ let the weight $w(x)$ be the number of 1's in x . Let m be the maximal weight of members of $\bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{A}_i$ and let $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_t\}$ be the set of members of $\bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{A}_i$ with weight m . We assume first that $m > \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. It is known that there exist different sequences v'_1, v'_2, \dots, v'_t of weight $m-1$ and the following property for corresponding sequences:

$$v'_j \leq v_j \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, t. \tag{3.1}$$

For every i ($i = 1, \dots, N$) we replace all members of $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_t\}$ in \mathcal{A}_i by the corresponding members of $\{v'_1, v'_2, \dots, v'_t\}$ and call the new cloud \mathcal{A}'_i .

One readily verifies that $\{\mathcal{A}'_i\}_{i=1}^N$ has again the (\exists, \forall) -property. Symmetrically, one can perform a transformation of the clouds via sequences of smallest weight if it is smaller than $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. Iteration of these two kinds of transformation results in a cloud-antichain $\{\mathcal{A}^*_i\}_{i=1}^N$ with the (\exists, \forall) -property involving only sequences of weight $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. There are $k = \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ such sequences and every \mathcal{A}^*_i can be represented via the usual incidence relation as a binary vector u_i of length k .

Now observe that the (\exists, \forall) -property is equivalent to the following one: $u_i \not\leq u_j$ for all $i \neq j$. Sperner's theorem [6] implies $N \leq \binom{k}{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}$.

Conversely, by choosing all clouds consisting of $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ sets with $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ elements each, we achieve this bound.

4. Proof of Theorem 2.3

We make use of an auxiliary result. For $X \subset \{0, 1\}^n$ let $\mathcal{C}_n(X)$ be the set of elements of $\{0, 1\}^n$ which are comparable with at least one element in X .

Lemma 4.1. *If X is an (ordinary) antichain in $\{0, 1\}^n$, $n \geq 4$, then $|\mathcal{C}_n(X)| \geq 2|X| + 3$.*

Proof. Suppose $\alpha \in X$ with $w(\alpha) = 1$ (or $w(\alpha) = n-1$). Then necessarily $|\mathcal{C}_n(\{\alpha\}) \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0), (1, \dots, 1)\}| = 2^{n-1} - 1$ and $\mathcal{C}_n(\{\alpha\}) \cap (X \setminus \{\alpha\}) = \emptyset$, which implies $|\mathcal{C}_n(X) \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0), (1, \dots, 1)\}| \geq |\mathcal{C}_n(\{\alpha\}) \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0), (1, \dots, 1)\}| + |X| - 1 = 2^{n-1} - 2 + |X|$. Now, $2^{n-1} - 2 + |X| > 2|X|$ holds for $n \geq 5$, because there $2^{n-1} - 2 > \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \geq |X|$, and for $n = 4$, because here $|X| \leq 4$ under the supposition $w(\alpha) = 1$ for $\alpha \in X$.

It remains to consider the case where $2 \leq w(\alpha) \leq n-2$ for all $\alpha \in X$. Define now $X^* = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, \bar{a}_n) \mid (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in X\}$ and notice that $X, X^* \subset \mathcal{C}_n(X) \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0), (1, \dots, 1)\}$ and that $X^* \cap X = \emptyset$, because X is an antichain.

Moreover, for every $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in X$ there is some $i \in [1, n-1]$ with $a_i = 1$ and thus $e_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathcal{C}_n(X)$, $e_i \notin X \cup X^*$. Therefore

$$|\mathcal{C}_n(X) \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0), (1, \dots, 1)\}| \geq |X| + |X^*| + 1 = 2|X| + 1. \quad \square$$

Now Theorem 2.3 is readily established. Suppose first that $1 = |\mathcal{A}_1| = |\mathcal{A}_2| = \dots = |\mathcal{A}_s| < 2 \leq |\mathcal{A}_{s+1}| \leq \dots \leq |\mathcal{A}_N|$ with $1 \leq s \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

Define then $T = \mathcal{C}_n(\bigcup_{i=1}^s \mathcal{A}_i) \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^s \mathcal{A}_i)$ and conclude with Lemma 4.1 that $|T| \geq 2s + 3 - s$. Since by the (\forall, \exists) -property $T \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{A}_i) = \emptyset$, we have

$$2^n \geq \sum_{i=1}^N |\mathcal{A}_i| + |T| \geq s + 2(N-s) + s + 3$$

and thus

$$N \leq 2^{n-1} - 2 \quad \text{for } n \geq 4. \tag{4.1}$$

Furthermore, since $\{(0, \dots, 0), (1, \dots, 1)\} \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{A}_i = \emptyset$ we have in the remaining case $2 \leq |\mathcal{A}_1| \leq \dots \leq |\mathcal{A}_N|$, $N \leq \frac{1}{2}(2^n - 2)$, and thus $N \leq 2^{n-1} - 1$.

On the other hand, we have a simple construction: every \mathcal{A}_i consists of a sequence $\alpha_i \neq (0, \dots, 0), (1, \dots, 1)$ and its complement $\bar{\alpha}_i$. There are $2^{n-1} - 1$ such clouds. The (\forall, \exists) -property holds. Finally, the cases $n=2, 3$ go by inspection.

In the case $n=2$ the only optimal configuration has clouds of cardinality 1. For $n=3$ there is (up to isomorphisms) also the solution $\{\{110\}, \{101\}, \{011\}\}$ with clouds of cardinality 1 only. Furthermore, there are three nonisomorphic solutions, for instance $\{\{110, 001\}, \{101, 010\}, \{011, 100\}\}$, $\{\{110, 010\}, \{101, 001\}, \{011, 100\}\}$, and $\{\{110, 010\}, \{101, 100\}, \{011, 010\}\}$, which clouds of cardinality 2.

Actually, for $n \geq 4$ our construction is unique, i.e. every cloud \mathcal{A} is of the form $\mathcal{A} = \{a, \bar{a}\}$. Since by the previous arguments in an optimal configuration all clouds have cardinality 2, it remains to look at a cloud $\mathcal{A} = \{a, b\}$ with $b \neq \bar{a}$. Then a and b have a component value in common, say 0 in the first component. But then $(0, 1, \dots, 1)$ cannot be in any other cloud, it has to be in \mathcal{A} and equal, say a . If now $w(b) \leq n-3$, then there is a c with $w(c) = w(b) + 1$, $c < a$, $c > b$, and $c \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{A}_i$.

This contradicts the equality $\bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{A}_i = \{0, 1\}^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0), (1, \dots, 1)\}$. If, on the other hand, $w(b) = n-2 \geq 2$ (since $n \geq 4$), then some d with $w(d) = w(b) - 1$ and $d < b < a$ is not in $\bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{A}_i$.

5. Proof of Theorem 2.5

There are at most $\binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ clouds with one member, and the sequences $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ can be eliminated from all clouds. Therefore

$$N \leq \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} + \left[2^{-1} \left(2^n - 2 - \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \right) \right].$$

We abbreviate the right-hand-side expression by R and now construct R clouds with the (\exists, \exists) -property.

Case $n = 2l$: For $i = 1, \dots, \binom{n}{l}$ choose $\mathcal{A}_i = \{a_i\}$ with $w(a_i) = l$. For $i = \binom{n}{l} + 1, \dots, R$ choose $\mathcal{A}_i = \{b_i, \bar{b}_i\}$ with $1 \leq w(b_i) < l$.

Case $n = 2l + 1$: For $n = 3$ the choice $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{100\}, \mathcal{A}_2 = \{010\}, \mathcal{A}_3 = \{001\}, \mathcal{A}_4 = \{011, 101, 110\}$ works. For $n > 3$ there exists a partition of vectors of weight $l + 1$ into $\lfloor \binom{2l+1}{l+1} / 2 \rfloor$ disjoint pairs $\mathcal{A}_i = \{c_i, d_i\}$ with Hamming distance $d_H(c_i, d_i) \geq 4$. Further, for the next $\binom{2l+1}{l}$ indices we define $\mathcal{A}_i = \{a_i\}$ with $w(a_i) = l$, and for all the remaining indices we set $\mathcal{A}_i = \{b_i, \bar{b}_i\}$ with $1 \leq w(b_i) < l$. The (\exists, \exists) -property is readily verified.

6. Proof of Theorem 2.1

Since $M_n(\forall, \exists) \geq M_n(\exists, \forall)$ we conclude from Theorem 2.3 that $M_n(\exists, \forall) \leq 2^{n-1} - 1$. The issue is to construct a cloud-antichain satisfying this bound asymptotically. We make use of the *general form of Baranyai's theorem*: Let n_1, \dots, n_t be natural numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^t n_i = \binom{n}{k}$; then $\binom{n}{k}$ can be partitioned into disjoint sets P_1, \dots, P_t such that $|P_i| = n_i$ and each $l \in \Omega_n$ is contained in exactly $\lceil n_i \cdot k/n \rceil$ or $\lfloor n_i \cdot k/n \rfloor$ members of P_i .

Our main auxiliary result is the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. For positive integers n, k, λ with $2k - n \leq \lambda < k$, $\binom{n}{k}$ has a partition $P(n, k, \lambda) = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor}\}$ with $P_i = \{a_i, b_i\}, |a_i \cap b_i| = \lambda$.

Proof. If $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda = 2k - n$, then the statement follows from Baranyai's theorem. We proceed by induction. If at least one of the numbers $\binom{n-1}{k-1}, \binom{n-1}{k}$ is even, then we see that

$$|P(n, k, \lambda)| = |P(n-1, k, \lambda)| + |P(n-1, k-1, \lambda-1)|.$$

If $\binom{n-1}{k-1} \equiv \binom{n-1}{k} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, then there remain two sets $v = \binom{n-1}{k-1} \setminus P(n-1, k, \lambda)$, $u = \binom{n-1}{k} \setminus P(n-1, k-1, \lambda-1)$. Using symmetry we can assume that $|v \cap u| = \lambda$. \square

For even $n = 2l$ as well as for odd $n = 2l + 1$ we define the cloud-antichain

$$P = \bigcup_{s=l-\lfloor (l-1)/7 \rfloor}^{s=l+\lfloor (l-1)/7 \rfloor} P\left(n, s, l-s+3\left\lfloor \frac{l-1}{7} \right\rfloor\right)$$

and calculate

$$|P| = \sum_{i=-\lfloor (l-1)/7 \rfloor}^{\lfloor (l-1)/7 \rfloor} \left\lfloor \frac{\binom{n}{l+i}}{2} \right\rfloor \sim \frac{1}{2} 2^n.$$

It remains to be seen that P has the (\exists, \forall) -property. For this, consider two clouds $\{a, b\}$ and $\{a', b'\}$ with $|a| = |b| = s, |a'| = |b'| = s'$ and w.l.o.g. $s < s'$ and $a \subset a'$. We claim

that $b \not\subseteq a'$, because otherwise $a \cup b \subset a'$, in contradiction to

$$\begin{aligned} |a \cup b| &= 2s - \left(l - s + 3 \left\lfloor \frac{l-1}{7} \right\rfloor \right) = 3s - 3 \left\lfloor \frac{l-1}{7} \right\rfloor - l \geq 3 \left(l - \left\lfloor \frac{l-1}{7} \right\rfloor \right) - 3 \left\lfloor \frac{l-1}{7} \right\rfloor - l \\ &= 2l - 6 \left\lfloor \frac{l-1}{7} \right\rfloor > l + \left\lfloor \frac{l-1}{7} \right\rfloor \geq s'. \end{aligned}$$

We claim also that $b \not\subseteq b'$, because otherwise $a \cap b \subset a' \cap b'$, in contradiction to $|a \cap b| = l - s + 3 \lfloor (l-1)/7 \rfloor > l - s' + 3 \lfloor (l-1)/7 \rfloor$. $b' \not\subseteq b$ and $a' \not\subseteq b$ obviously hold, because $|a'| = |b'| = s' > s = |b|$. Finally, we claim that $a \not\subseteq b'$, because otherwise $a \subset a' \cap b'$, in contradiction to $|a| > |a \cap b| > |a' \cap b'|$. We have shown that $\{a, b\}$ and $\{a', b'\}$ are not comparable in the sense (\exists, \forall) .

Remark 6.2. Herwig [5] was the first to show that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} Mn(\forall, \exists) 2^{-n} = c > 0$. By arguments based on the marriage theorem he actually proved that $c \geq \frac{1}{18}$.

7. Proof of Theorem 2.4

Since necessarily $(0, 0, \dots, 0), (1, 1, \dots, 1) \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{A}_i$, we have $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset \Omega' \triangleq \mathcal{P}(\{0, 1\}^n \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0), (1, 1, \dots, 1)\})$ and thus $N \leq 2^{2^n - 2}$. On the other hand, let us consider $\{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i=1}^{N^*} \subset \Omega'$, where each \mathcal{A}_i contains a subset $\{\alpha, \bar{\alpha}\}$ and N^* is maximal. The (\forall, \exists) -property holds.

There are $2^{n-1} - 1$ sets $\{\alpha, \bar{\alpha}\}$ and therefore

$$|\Omega'| - N^* = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} \binom{2^{n-1}-1}{k} \cdot 2^k = 3^{2^{n-1}}.$$

This implies $N^* = 2^{2^n - 2} - 3^{2^{n-1}} \sim 2^{2^n - 2}$.

8. Proof of Theorem 2.6

Consider all clouds containing at least two sequences of weight $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. This defines a cloud-antichain of type (\exists, \exists) and length

$$N = 2^{2^n} - 2^{2^n - \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} \left(\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} + 1 \right) \sim 2^{2^n}.$$

Clearly $N_n(\exists, \exists) \leq 2^{2^n}$.

References

- [1] R. Ahlswede and Z. Zhang, An identity in combinatorial extremal theory, *Adv. Math.* 80 (1990) 137-151.

- [2] R. Ahlswede and Z. Zhang, On cloud-antichains and related configurations, *Discrete Math.* 85 (1990) 225–245.
- [3] R. Ahlswede and Z. Zhang, A new direction in extremal theory, *J. Combin. Inform. System Sci.*, to appear.
- [4] Z. Baranyai, On the factorization of the complete uniform hypergraph, in: A. Hajnal, R. Rado and V.T. Sós, eds., *Colloquia Mathematica Societatis, János Bolyai 10. Infinite and finite Sets, Keszthely, Hungary (1973)* 91–108.
- [5] B. Herwig, private communication, September 1991.
- [6] E. Sperner, Ein Satz über Untermengen einer endlichen Menge, *Math. Z.* 27 (1928) 544–548.