An Abstract Model for Performance Estimation of the Embedded Multiprocessor CoreVA-MPSoC Technical Report (v1.0)

Johannes Ax*, Martin Flasskamp*, Gregor Sievers*, Christian Klarhorst*, Thorsten Jungeblut*, and Wayne Kelly[†] [∗]Cognitronics and Sensor Systems Group, CITEC, Bielefeld University, Bielefeld, Germany †Science and Engineering Faculty, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia Email: jax@cit-ec.uni-bielefeld.de w.kelly@qut.edu.au

I. INTRODUCTION

This technical report presents an abstract model for the performance estimation of the multiprocessor CoreVA-MPSoC. The CoreVA-MPSoC targets streaming applications in embedded and energy-limited systems. The abstract model is used by our CoreVA-MPSoC compiler [1] to estimate the performance of a certain streaming application.

Our CoreVA-MPSoC compiler reads applications that are described in the programming language StreamIt [2]. A StreamIt program is represented by a structured data flow graph of its tasks (filter). The CoreVA-MPSoC compiler partitions all filter of a program onto particular cores of the MPSoC. An abstract model for such a partitioning is presented in Section II. Section III shows the abstract model of the hardware architecture of the CoreVA-MPSoC.

The configurable VLIW CPU CoreVA [3] is used as the basic building block for our MPSoC. The CPU features L1 scratchpad memories for instruction and data. Several CPU cores are tightly coupled within a cluster [4]. Several of those clusters are connected via a network on chip (NoC) [5] (cf. Fig. 1).

Within a cluster each CPU can access the L1 data memories of other CPUs via a bus based interconnect (shared, partial or full crossbar). The NoC interconnect is composed of three components: The (i) routers transport the data through the NoC in a packet-based manner. Routers are connected via (ii) network links. A (iii) network interface (NI) implements the interface between the routers and the CPUs.

Fig. 1. Hierarchical CoreVA-MPSoC architecture

Section IV shows the abstract model for the total throughput of a certain partition of a StreamIt application. A goal for the CoreVA-MPSoC compiler is to maximize this throughput to achieve the best performance for an application.

II. MODEL OF THE STREAMIT PROGRAM

A StreamIt program can be represented as a structured graph $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{E})$, where $\mathbb F$ is a set of filters and $\mathbb E$ is a set of edges. Each $e \in \mathbb{E}$ is of form (a, b) which represents a communication channel between filter $a \in \mathbb{F}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}$ in which a message with size $|e|$ (in $\frac{by \text{ }_\text{t}}{work \text{ }function \text{ }of \text{ }a}$) is send from filter *a* to filter *b*. Each filter $f \in \mathbb{F}$ has a work function with the estimated execution time $W(f)$ in cycles. The execution time $W(f)$ includes repeated executions of a work function, which may be required to consume or produce enough data for the filter at it's edges.

There exists a unique filter $\mathscr L$ without outgoing edges, which is the last filter of the application: $\nexists b \in \mathbb{F}$ *s.t.* $(\mathcal{L}, b) \in \mathbb{E}$

There exists a unique filter $\mathscr F$ without ingoing edges, which is the first filter of the application: $\nexists b \in \mathbb{F}$ *s.t.* $(b, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathbb{E}$

 $M:$ Multiplicity how often the work functions of all filters are called during one steady state iteration $(\frac{work$ function calls
(steady state iteration).

III. MODEL OF THE COREVA-MPSOC

The CoreVA-MPSoC consist of a set of processors P.

The StreamIt compiler maps each filter to a processor: $M : \mathbb{F} \mapsto \mathbb{P}$

The MPSoC has a set of clusters C and each processor belongs to a cluster: $C : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{C}$

Additionally the MPSoC consist of a set of network links N. Each *n* ∈ N has a maximum bandwidth *B*(*n*) $\frac{bytes}{cycle}$ that it can handle. A network link could be a bus-link within a cluster, a network interface (NI) or a NoC-link.

 $N(p_a, p_b)$ is a list of all network links involved when sending a message from processor $p_a \in \mathbb{P}$ to processor $p_b \in \mathbb{P}$ (depending on the routing algorithm): $N : (p_a, p_b) \rightarrow [N]$

IV. MODEL OF THE THROUGHPUT

This section shows an abstract model for throughput estimation of a certain StreamIt program given by II and mapped to a configuration of CoreVA-MPSoC given by III.

A. Throughput of a Processor

A filter $f \in \mathbb{F}$ has input edges: $I(f) = \{(a, f) | (a, f) \in \mathbb{E}\}\$

A filter $f \in \mathbb{F}$ has output edges: $O(f) = \{(f, b) | (f, b) \in \mathbb{E}\}\$

For each filter $f \in F$ we generate code of the form:

f o re a c h (Channel i i n I (f)) i . Wai t Inpu tReady

- foreach (Channel o in $O(f)$) o . WaitOutputReady
- Work $f()$
- foreach (Channel i in $I(f)$) i . DoneWithInput
- foreach (Channel o in $O(f)$) o . DoneWithOutput

Before executing the work function $Work_f$ of filter $f \in \mathbb{F}$ it is necessary to wait until all communication channels (input $I(f)$ and output $O(f)$ edges) are ready to use. After $Work_f$ all communication channels ($I(f)$ and $O(f)$) can be set to done. The execution time of these wait and done functions is given by the channel type of edge $(a, b) \in \mathbb{E}$, which depends on the location of the filter *a* and *b* (same processor, different processor but same cluster, or different cluster): $M(a) = M(b) \rightarrow$ *memory channel* $M(a) \neq M(b) \land C(M(a)) = C(M(b)) \rightarrow$ *cluster channel* $C(M(a)) \neq C(M(b)) \rightarrow NoC$ *channel* The execution time of the wait for input channels of edge $e \in E$ is represented by $I_w(e)$ and $O_w(e)$ for the output channels. The execution time of the done for input channels is represented by $I_d(e)$ and *O^d* (*e*) for the output channels.

The execution time $E(f)$ (in cycles per steady state iteration) of filter $f \in \mathbb{F}$ is the sum of the execution time of the filters work function $W(f)$ multiplied by the Multiplicity M and a sum of all software overheads for the different communication channels of all it's input and output edges.

$$
E(f) = \mathcal{M} W(f) + \sum_{e \in I(f)} (I_w(e) + I_d(e))
$$

$$
\sum_{e \in O(f)} (O_w(e) + O_d(e)) \frac{cycles}{steady state iteration}
$$
 (1)

The maximum throughput *T*(*p*) (in steady state iteration per cycle) of processor $p \in \mathbb{P}$ is the inverse of the sum of the execution time of all filters $f \in \mathbb{F}$ mapped to processor *p*.

$$
T(p) = \frac{1}{\sum_{f \in M'(p)} E(f)} \xrightarrow{\text{steady state iteration}} \tag{2}
$$

Where $M'(p)$ are all filters mapped to processor $p \in \mathbb{P}$: $M'(p) = \{ f \in \mathbb{F} | M(f) = p \}$

B. Throughput of a Network Link

An amount of data $D(n)$ (in bytes per steady state iteration) is crossing each network link $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This amount of data is based on the Multiplicity M and the message sizes of all edges going through this network link *n*

$$
D(n) = \mathcal{M} \sum_{e \in \{(a,b)\in \mathbb{E} \mid n \in N(M(a), M(b))\}} |e| \frac{b \text{ytes}}{\text{steady state iteration}}
$$
(3)

The maximum throughput *T*(*n*) of network link *n* ∈ E is the maximum bandwidth (in bytes per cycle) a network link *n* can handle divided by the time the network link needs to transmit all the data (in bytes) of one steady state iteration.

$$
T(n) = \frac{B(n)}{D(n)} \xrightarrow{\text{steady state iterations}} \tag{4}
$$

C. Total throughput of the system

The throughput of all processors is given by set *Tcomp*.

$$
T_{comp} = \{T(p)|p \in \mathbb{P}\}^{\frac{steady\ state\ iterations}{cycle}}
$$
 (5)

The throughput of all network links is given by set $T_{network}$.

$$
T_{network} = \{T(n)|n \in \mathbb{N}\} \xrightarrow{\text{steady state iteration}} \tag{6}
$$

The amount of data $D(f)$ (in bytes per steady state iteration) consumed by a filter $f \in \mathbb{F}$ depends on Multiplicity M and the message sizes of all its input edges.

$$
D(f) = \mathcal{M} \sum_{e \in I(f)} |e| \frac{b_1 t e^{-b_2 t e^{-b_1}}}{\text{steady state iteration}}
$$
(7)

The total throughput of the StreamIt application T_{system} is given by the bottleneck of the system. The bottleneck of the system is the component (processor or network link) with the lowest throughput

$$
T_{system} = min(\mathbb{T}_{comp} \cup \mathbb{T}_{network})^{\frac{steady_state_iteration}{cycles}} \qquad (8)
$$

Or if we also consider the amount of the produced data within one steady state:

$$
T_{system} = D(\mathcal{L}) \ min(\mathbb{T}_{comp} \cup \mathbb{T}_{network}) \frac{b \text{y} \text{tes}}{c \text{y} \text{c} \text{t}} \tag{9}
$$

V. CONCLUSION

In this report, an abstract model for the performance estimation of the CoreVA-MPSoC has been presented. The abstract model is able to estimate the maximum throughput of a certain streaming application mapped to a particular configuration of the CoreVA-MPSoC.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Kelly, M. Flasskamp, G. Sievers, J. Ax, J. Chen, C. Klarhorst, C. Ragg, T. Jungeblut, and A. Sorensen, "A Communication Model and Partitioning Algorithm for Streaming Applications for an Embedded MPSoC," in *Int. Symp. on System on Chip (SoC)*. IEEE, 2014.
- [2] W. Thies, M. Karczmarek, and S. Amarasinghe, "StreamIt: A Language for Streaming Applications," in *Int. Conf. on Compiler Construction*. Springer, 2002, pp. 179–196.
- [3] G. Sievers, P. Christ, J. Einhaus, T. Jungeblut, M. Porrmann, and U. Rückert, "Design-space Exploration of the Configurable 32 bit VLIW Processor CoreVA for Signal Processing Applications," in *NORCHIP*. IEEE, 2013.
- [4] G. Sievers, J. Ax, N. Kucza, M. Flasskamp, T. Jungeblut, W. Kelly, M. Porrmann, and U. Rückert, "Evaluation of Interconnect Fabrics for an Embedded MPSoC in 28nm FD-SOI," in *ISCAS*. IEEE, 2015.
- [5] J. Ax, G. Sievers, M. Flasskamp, W. Kelly, T. Jungeblut, and M. Porrmann, "System-level analysis of network interfaces for hierarchical mpsocs," in *NoCArc*. ACM, 2015. In press.