the plant journal

The Plant Journal (2017) 91, 601-612

Leaf primordium size specifies leaf width and vein number among row-type classes in barley

Venkatasubbu Thirulogachandar^{1,2,3,†}, Ahmad M. Alqudah^{2,†}, Ravi Koppolu², Twan Rutten⁴, Andreas Graner⁵, Goetz Hensel⁶, Jochen Kumlehn⁶, Andrea Bräutigam⁷, Nese Sreenivasulu^{1,3,‡}, Thorsten Schnurbusch^{2,*} and Markus Kuhlmann^{1,3,*} ¹Independent Junior Research Group Abiotic Stress Genomics, Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK Gatersleben), Corrensstr. 3 06466 Stadt Seeland, OT Gatersleben, Germany,

²HEISENBERG-Research Group Plant Architecture, Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK Gatersleben), Corrensstr. 3 06466 Stadt Seeland, OT Gatersleben, Germany,

³Interdisciplinary Centre for Crop Plant Research (IZN), Hoher Weg 8, 06120, Halle (Saale), Germany,

⁴Research Group Structural Cell Biology, Department Physiology and Cell Biology, Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK Gatersleben), Corrensstr. 3 06466 Stadt Seeland, OT Gatersleben, Germany,

⁵Research Group Genome Diversity, Department Genebank, Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK), Corrensstr. 3 06466 Stadt Seeland, OT Gatersleben, Germany,

⁶Research Group Plant Reproductive Biology, Department Physiology and Cell Biology, Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK Gatersleben), Corrensstr. 3 06466 Stadt Seeland, OT Gatersleben, Germany, and ⁷Research Group Network Analysis and Modeling, Department Molecular Genetics, Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK Gatersleben), Corrensstr. 3 06466 Stadt Seeland, OT Gatersleben, Germany

Received 30 March 2017; revised 20 April 2017; accepted 27 April 2017; published online 8 May 2017.

*For correspondence (e-mails kuhlmann@ipk-gatersleben.de or schnurbusch@ipk-gatersleben.de).

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

[‡]Present address: International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), Grain Quality and Nutrition Centre, DAPO Box 7777, Metro Manila, Philippines.

SUMMARY

Exploring genes with impact on yield-related phenotypes is the preceding step to accomplishing crop improvements while facing a growing world population. A genome-wide association scan on leaf blade area (LA) in a worldwide spring barley collection (*Hordeum vulgare* L.), including 125 two- and 93 six-rowed accessions, identified a gene encoding the homeobox transcription factor, Six-rowed spike 1 (VRS1). VRS1 was previously described as a key domestication gene affecting spike development. Its mutation converts two-rowed (wild-type *VRS1*, only central fertile spikelets) into six-rowed spikes (mutant *vrs1*, fully developed fertile central and lateral spikelets). Phenotypic analyses of mutant and wild-type leaves revealed that mutants had an increased leaf width with more longitudinal veins. The observed significant increase of LA and leaf nitrogen (%) during pre-anthesis development in *vrs1* mutants also implies a link between wider leaf and grain number, which was validated from the association of *vrs1* locus with wider leaf and grain number. Histological and gene expression analyses indicated that VRS1 might influence the size of leaf primordia by affecting cell proliferation of leaf primordial cells. This finding was supported by the transcriptome analysis of mutant and wild-type leaf primordia where in the mutant transcriptional activation of genes related to cell proliferation was detectable. Here we show that VRS1 has an independent role on barley leaf development which might influence the grain number.

Keywords: barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.), VRS1, homeodomain-leucine zipper class I transcription factors, leaf development, leaf width, vein number.

INTRODUCTION

Feeding the growing population along with reducing agriculture's environmental footprint is a crucial challenge posed to the scientific community in this century, which can be achieved by increasing cropping efficiency and yield (Foley *et al.*, 2011). Barley, the fourth important cereal crop, is considered as the founder crop of Old World Neolithic food production that enabled mankind to move from hunter-gathering to cultivation and agriculture (Harlan and

© 2017 The Authors.

The Plant Journal published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Experimental Biology. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Zohary, 1966; Zohary *et al.*, 2012; Mascher *et al.*, 2016). Domesticated barley has two principal spike types: tworowed forms and six-rowed forms governed by a single gene, *Six-rowed spike 1* (*VRS1* <u>*Vulgare row-type spike 1*; syn. *HvHOX1*). VRS1 negatively affects carpel fertility in the lateral spikelets and generates two-rowed forms; mutations altering the functionality of the protein cause sixrowed forms. It has already been reported that *VRS1* is the result of a recent gene duplication that occurred only in tribe Triticeae (found in Wheat and Rye), and its paralog is known as *HvHOX2*. Although, both genes' tissue-specific expression has been shown, their molecular functions are yet to be described (Komatsuda *et al.*, 2007; Sakuma *et al.*, 2010, 2013).</u>

Tapping the great potential of leaves will give a profound effect on crop improvement since plant leaves are the fundamental energetic unit of terrestrial life generating primary energy from photosynthesis (Blonder et al., 2011). Leaves of dicotyledonous and monocotyledonous plants differ in various aspects, but the initiation of a leaf shares common features and gene networks. Leaf formation is initiated on the flanks of the shoot apical meristem (SAM) as leaf primordia (Gonzalez et al., 2012). It is not clearly understood how lateral-organ primordia are specified in the SAM, but a marker gene, like DORNROSCHEN, is known to provide identity to lateral-organ founder cells in Arabidopsis (Comelli et al., 2015). The maize narrow sheath 1 and narrow sheath 2 (ns1 and ns2) orthologous to rice NARROW LEAF 2/3 (NAL2/3), and homologous to Arabidopsis PRESSED FLOWER (PRS) are WUS-related homeobox 3 (WOX3) genes proposed to influence leaf foundercell recruitment during leaf primordia formation (Scanlon et al., 1996; Matsumoto and Okada, 2001; Nardmann et al., 2004; Cho et al., 2013). The Arabidopsis proteins STRUW-WELPETER (SWP) and SAMBA (a negative regulator of anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome complex) are involved in formation of leaf founder cells by modulating cell proliferation during early development (Hepworth and Lenhard, 2014). Recent studies have shed light on the mechanisms of leaf initiation; the mechanical strain of cell wall and plasma membrane of leaf primordia likely coordinates the PIN-FORMED1 (PIN1) localization and cortical microtubule orientation, which in turn increases auxin accumulation and thereby promotes further growth (Nakayama et al., 2012). Leaf primordia are formed by progression of the cell cycle with a strict temporal regulation of proteins involved in DNA replication and mitosis (Inzé and De Veylder, 2006). Moreover, the cell cycle is influenced by an E3-ubiquitin ligase complex, which degrades the cell cycle dependent kinase inhibitors (Hershko, 2005). Once the leaf is initiated, the leaf grows in three different dimensions namely adaxial-abaxial, proximo-distal, and medio-lateral (Bar and Ori, 2014). Rice genes NAL7 (Fujino et al., 2008), NAL9 (Li et al., 2013), dwarf and narrow leaf 1

(*dnl1*) (Wei *et al.*, 2013), *NAL1* (Jiang *et al.*, 2015) and cellulose synthase-like genes from both rice (*NARROW AND ROLLED LEAF 1*) and maize (Hu *et al.*, 2010; Hunter *et al.*, 2012) are influencing medio-lateral leaf axis development and produce narrow leaves, when they are mutated.

Despite these many narrow/wide leaf phenotypes, very few studies have reported the association of leaf characters with yield relevant traits (Fujita *et al.*, 2013; Takai *et al.*, 2013). Here we combined the power of a Genome-Wide Association Study (GWAS) with molecular analysis and identified the barley homeodomain-leucine zipper class I transcription factor VRS1 that influences the medio-lateral leaf axis formation and plant yield. Phenotypic and histological studies show that the six-rowed domestication allele of *VRS1* (i.e. *vrs1.a*) confers increased leaf width, vein number and leaf nitrogen content. Transcriptome analyses of wild-type and mutant plants suggest that wild-type two-rowed plants have impaired cell proliferation during early leaf primordium development.

RESULTS

VRS1 affects leaf area and grain number

Barley exists in two morphologically distinct spike forms, referred to as 'two-rowed' and 'six-rowed', which also differ in their leaf blade area (LA) (Algudah and Schnurbusch, 2015). To study the natural genetic variation of LA in spring barley, 218 worldwide accessions (125 two-rowed and 93 six-rowed, Table S1) under greenhouse conditions (Methods S1) at four developmental stages (Zadoks stage, Z): awn primordium (Z31-33), awn tipping (Z49), heading (Z55) and anther extrusion (Z65) (Zadoks et al., 1974; Alqudah and Schnurbusch, 2015) were analysed. A significant difference (P < 0.05) in the main culm LA between rowtypes, in which six-rowed forms had significantly larger LA at all developmental stages after awn primordium (AP) (Figure 1a), was detected. High broad-sense heritability (H^2) for the studied trait (>0.85) indicated that LA is predominantly genetically controlled at the examined developmental stages. GWAS identified clear association signals for LA (on all four developmental stages) at the physical position of SNP markers Barley Oligo Pool Array (BOPA) 2_12_30896 [652031268-652031389 (SNP position: 652031329)] and BOPA2 12 30900 [652031594-652031715 (SNP position: 652031655)] (Mascher et al., 2013). These two SNPs are found to be localized within the physical position of the VRS1 gene (652031295-652032562) on the long arm of chromosome 2H (Figure 1b), IPK barley BLAST server, Gatersleben (http://webblast.ipk-gatersleben.de/ba rlev/).

The allelic variation at *VRS1* also affected grain number per main spike in our GWAS panel (Figure S1a). These observations suggested that the *vrs1* locus is involved in LA regulation along with grain number in barley.



Figure 1. VRS1 regulates leaf blade area (LA) in a worldwide two- and six-rowed barley population.

(a) Phenotypic analysis of LA per main culm for the population at four growth stages (*n* = 125 and 93 for two- and six-rowed barleys, respectively with three biological replicates per accession).

(b) GWAS for LA shows the significant association of *Vrs1* in Manhattan plot; the vertical blue dotted line indicates the location of *Vrs1* along chromosome 2H, and the gray dotted line denote the threshold significance level, $-\log_{10}$ (*P*-value of 0.02) (*n* = 125 and 93 for two- and six-rowed barleys, respectively with three biological replicates per accession).

(c) Phenotypic characterization of LA per main culm and whole plant for four independent vrs1 mutants and their respective wild-types at Zadoks growth stages Z37 (flag leaf just visible) and Z55 (heading).

(d, e) (d) The average of leaf width for mutants and wild-types at Z55 for main culm and (e) leaf width of individual leaves of main culm. AP: awn primordium, TIP: tipping, HD: heading, AE: anther extrusion. Four independent vrs1 mutants and their respective wild-types represented by three biological replicates per line in Figure 1(c–e). Values marked by different letters differ significantly [$P \le 0.05$ for (a, c, d, e); $P \le 0.001$ for (d)] based on Fisher's Least Significant Difference (LSD) test. Error bars are based on mean \pm SD.

Leaf width is the critical determinant for increased leaf area in *vrs1* mutants

To further elucidate the role of VRS1 during barley leaf growth and development, LA was measured in four independent vrs1 mutants (six-rowed) and their wild-types (VRS1, two-rowed) at two developmental stages, Z37 (flag leaf just visible) and Z55 (heading) (Zadoks et al., 1974) (Methods S1). The vrs1 mutants had larger LA (cumulative on main culm and whole plant) than their wild-types at both developmental stages with the maximal difference observed at Z55 (Figure 1c, cumulative; Figure S1b, independent mutants and wild-types). Then, length and width of individual leaves were measured on the main culm at Z55 to investigate which of these parameters mainly influenced the observed difference in LA in the vrs1 mutant plants. The cumulative leaf width per main culm ($P \le 0.001$) (Figure 1d) and length and width of the flag leaf were significantly different between wild-type and mutant classes ($P \le 0.05$; Figure S1c,d, independent mutants and wild-types; Figures S1e and 1e, cumulative). To exclude the effect of leaf and tiller number on the observed difference in LA, leaf number per main culm, total leaf number per plant and tiller number per plant were recorded at both (Z37 and Z55) stages. There was no significant difference ($P \le 0.05$) between mutant and wild-type for all three characters at Z37. However, at Z55 the mutant plants had significantly lower total leaf number per plant ($P \le 0.001$) and tiller number per plant ($P \le 0.01$; Figure S1f). These results implied that VRS1 mostly affects leaf width, which mainly contributed for the LA along with the flag leaf length.

vrs1 mutant had higher leaf nitrogen during anthesis

Pre-anthesis nitrogen storage in vegetative organs like roots and shoots accounts for maximum nitrogen remobilization during grain development in wheat and rice (Hirel *et al.*, 2007). The significant difference for LA observed in

© 2017 The Authors. *The Plant Journal* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Experimental Biology., *The Plant Journal*, (2017), **91**, 601–612

the two- and six-rowed barley population at Z49 (3–5 days before fertilization) might determine their carbon and nitrogen content (Tian *et al.*, 2011). Therefore a pair of wild-type and mutant plants was analysed. Bowman near isogenic line of mutant allele *vrs1.a* [BW-NIL(*vrs1.a*); syn. BW 898] (Druka *et al.*, 2011) was used as a six-rowed representative and cultivar Bowman as two-rowed wild-type. Elemental analysis of carbon and nitrogen contents in the penultimate leaves indicated that the mutant (i.e. wide) leaves contained 1.4-fold more leaf nitrogen (N) (%, $P \leq 0.001$) than wild-type (narrow) leaves (Figure 2a); whereas carbon content (%) was not significantly different (Figure 2b).

VRS1 affects leaf width and vein number

To further understand the effect of VRS1 on leaf development, barley leaves were histologically studied also in Bowman and BW-NIL(*vrs1.a*). The plants were analysed at Z49 once they completed their growth (Methods S1). The *vrs1* mutant [BW-NIL(*vrs1.a*)] formed wider leaves (Figure 2c,e) with more veins (Figure 2d) than wild-type (cv. Bowman). The transverse section of mutant and wildtype mid-rib showed no difference in the appearance of the mid-rib with regards to the ratio of xylem to phloem, its relative positioning, and size or structure (Figure S2a,b). To assess the interveinal space between mutant and wildtype, the ratio of leaf width to vein number was calculated and it was found that wild-type plants had a higher ratio than mutants (Figure S2c). These results implied that mutant plants are not affected in their leaf vascular bundle anatomy; however, interveinal space might be reduced. Furthermore these results show that VRS1 affects LA by increasing leaf width and vein number without extending the interveinal space.

Effect of VRS1 on leaf width is established during leaf primordia development

Genes regulating the medio-lateral development of the leaf can be attributed to their function as early as during development of incipient leaf primordia (Scanlon, 2000) or



Figure 2. VRS1 regulates leaf nitrogen (%), leaf width and vein number at Z49 (Tipping stage).

(a, b) (a) The elemental analysis for wild-type Bowman and mutant BW-NIL(vrs1.a) penultimate leaves shows, leaf nitrogen (%) is significantly higher (1.4-fold) in mutant than wild-type (b) but not carbon (%).

(c, d) (c) BW-NIL(vrs1.a) has significantly wider leaves and (d) more veins compared to Bowman.

(e) Vein cleared leaves of BW-NIL(vrs1.a) and Bowman. Data in (c, d) were results from the analysis performed in antepenult leaf. Data in (a–d) were subjected to the Student's *t*-test, and values are given as mean \pm SEM; n = 9 for (a, b) n = 6 for (c, d), ****P*-value \leq 0.001, ***P* \leq 0.01. ns: not significantly different at P < 0.05; Scale bar 2 mm. Mn: minor vein, Mj: major vein and Md: mid-rib.

during outgrowth of the leaf (Jost et al., 2016). To study the effect of VRS1 during leaf primordia development, serial transverse sections of the shoot apices dissected from embryos of the same wild-type (Bowman) and mutant [BW-NIL(vrs1.a)] used above, possessing SAM and young leaves (Figure 3a,b), were made. The area of the SAM was calculated from the tip of the meristem, and for plastochron-1 (P1, the youngest leaf or leaf primordia), both area and width from the base of the leaf were measured (Methods S1). We considered the section as leaf base, when a first visible separation of P1 from SAM was found (Jost et al., 2016). The area of both P1 and SAM was measured by creating the contour maps of each organ's section by using Free-D software (Andrey and Maurin, 2005). The leaf width of P1 was calculated by thinning down the skeletons of P1 as described previously (Klukas et al., 2014). Our analysis revealed that the area of the SAM is not significantly different between wild-type/Bowman and mutant/BW-NIL(vrs1.a) (Figure 3c). However, both area and width of the P1 middle region was significantly larger in mutant/BW-NIL(vrs1.a) plants (Figure 3d,e). We further verified the observed indifference for the SAM by an independent approach by measuring the diameter of the SAM (Figure S3a,b and Methods S1) in tissue cleared shoot apices (dissected from embryos) of both genotypes, once more confirming no significant differences of the

vrs1 positively influences leaf width in barley 605

SAM. These results highlight that VRS1's effect on leaf width was already established during leaf primordia development; but that it did not alter the size of the SAM.

VRS1 is expressed during leaf primordia initiation and development

To complement the histological study on leaf primordia formation, the expression of VRS1 was studied by tagging its native promoter with an enhanced GFP (eGFP) coding sequence in transgenic barley plants of two-rowed cv. Golden Promise. Five out of 25 independent transgenic events showed GFP expression in the leaf in the T₀ generation. From the five GFP-positive events, T₁ plants of three events were selected, and their GFP expression was confirmed. Fluorescence analyses of 8 days old shoot apices revealed GFP fluorescence in the entire shoot apex including developing leaf primordia (Figure 4a). The detection of VRS1 transcripts in early shoot apices (11 days old) of BW-NIL(vrs1.a) and cv. Bowman using quantitative RT-PCR (gRT-PCR) confirmed our transgenic promoter analysis during early stages of leaf development. No significant difference in transcript abundances of mutant and wild-type plants (Figure 4b) was found, suggesting that only the function of the protein and not transcript levels are responsible for the wide/narrow leaf phenotype. Moreover, VRS1 was expressed in the leaf epidermal cells, and in the



Figure 3. Mutant leaf primordia, plastochron 1 is wider than wild-type.

(a, b) Representative images of the transverse serial sections of the shoot apices dissected from the embryo of BW-NIL(vrs1.a) and Bowman respectively. (c) Calculated SAM area from the serial sections of mutant and wild-type shows no significant difference from tip to base.

(d, e) Calculated leaf area and width of plastochron 1 are significantly different in mutant especially in the middle region of the P1 leaf. Scale bar 50 μ m; Data in (c–e) were subjected to the Student's *t*-test, and values are given as mean \pm SD; n = 4; ***P*-value ≤ 0.01 , **P*-value ≤ 0.05 .

© 2017 The Authors.

The Plant Journal published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Experimental Biology., *The Plant Journal*, (2017), **91**, 601–612

vascular bundle of mature leaves (Figure S4). Also transcripts of *VRS4* (*HvRA2*), an upstream regulator of *VRS1* in spike development (Koppolu *et al.*, 2013) were detected, implying that a similar regulation upon *VRS1* might exist during leaf development (Figure 4b).

Transcriptome analysis of *vrs1* mutant shoot apices suggests a role of VRS1 during early developmental processes of leaf formation

To address the molecular function of the homeodomain transcription factor VRS1 during early leaf development, the transcriptome of 11-day-old shoot apices from mutant and wild-type plants was studied using a custom-made Agilent barley 60k microarray (Koppolu *et al.*, 2013). A Gene Ontology enrichment analysis (GO) on all genes detectable by microarray hybridization indicated a

significant over-representation of transcripts/genes associated with developmental processes (Figure S5). Such enhancement was expected for meristematic tissue. The gene entities were filtered with stringent parameters (explained in detail in Experimental Procedures), which yielded 51 differentially expressed transcripts, of which 26 were up- and 25 were down-regulated in the mutant (Figure S6). Transcript levels of selected genes (five up- and three down-regulated) were validated by gPCR (Figure S7). Among the 26 up-regulated genes in the mutant plants, specific functions could be assigned to 12 genes (blast analysis), revealing that transcripts/genes belonging to cell proliferation are preferentially up-regulated consistent with the presence of the wide leaf phenotype. Nine out of 25 down-regulated genes could be linked to apoptosis, jasmonic acid or promotion of flowering, which indirectly





Figure 4. VRS1 expresses during early leaf development specifically during leaf primordia initiation and development.

(a) *VRS1* promoter activity in SAM and developing leaf primordia demonstrated by the expression of GFP in *ProVRS1*::*GFP* transgenic plants. Panel-1 shows the GFP expression as green color, Panel-2 shows the autofluorescence of apex cell walls and Panel-3 is the combined image of the above two panels. SAM is indicated by asterisk, P1 and P2 are developing leaf primordia; scale bar 50 µm.

(b) VRS1 and VRS4 transcript abundance as assessed by qRT-PCR in Bowman and BW-NIL(vrs1.a) shoot apices. Abundances were log_{10} transformed and compared using the Student's *t*-test. Values are given in the form of mean \pm SEM (n = 3); ns: non-significant.

© 2017 The Authors. The Plant Journal published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Experimental Biology., The Plant Journal, (2017), **91**, 601–612

vrs1 positively influences leaf width in barley 607

points towards down- regulation of cell differentiation processes in the mutant. In both up- and down-regulated gene sets, a number of kinases were found, which might imply that several signalling cascades involved in cell proliferation and differentiation were also differentially regulated in mutant and wild-type.

Phylogenetic analysis of HD-ZIP class I proteins and their expression pattern

We performed a phylogenetic analysis by using the webbased tool 'SALAD' (http://salad.dna.affrc.go.jp/CGViewer/ en/<u>1</u> for barley, rice, maize and Arabidopsis HD-ZIP class I proteins (Figure 5a). The SALAD dendrogram is different from conventional phylogenetic trees since it provides a phylogenic tree along with putative motifs, which are evolutionary conserved. This feature helps to identify motifs with relevance to the functionality of a protein or cluster. The barley VRS1 and its paralog HOX2 formed a sub-family (Figure 5b) with their putative orthologs from rice and maize and the homologous Arabidopsis δ class proteins (ATHB21, -40 and -53) (Henriksson et al., 2005) based on the conserved motif 4 (Figure S8a). The motif 16 (Figure S8b) separates the monocot proteins (barley, rice, and maize) from dicot proteins (Arabidopsis) (Figure 5b). The monocot-specific cluster is further divided into two different branches. The GT1 clade (41) is defined by the presence of motif 17 (Figure S8c) and consists of GRMZM2G005624 (GRASSY TILLERS1, GT1 from maize), LOC_Os03g10210 (OsHOX12 from rice) and full-length clone AK365295 (putative HvHOX12 from barley). VRS1 and HOX2 are grouped together in the VRS1 clade based on the presence of motifs 21 (Figure S8d) and 59 (Figure S8e) with LOC_Os07g39320 (OsHOX14 from rice) and GRMZM2G062244 (HOX107 from maize). Among the motifs (4, 16, 17, 21, & 59) found in the VRS1 clade, motif 21 was identified as putative 'AHA' (Aromatic Hydrophobic Acidic amino acids) motif required for the transcriptional activity of HD-ZIP class I proteins (Capella et al., 2014). This



Figure 5. Phylogenetic analysis of class I HD-ZIP proteins in Arabidopsis, rice, maize and barley identified the important homologs and putative orthologs of VRS1.

(a) Evolutionarily conserved motif based dendrogram generated by SALAD for HD-ZIP I proteins shows the clustering of VRS1 and its homologs.

(b) Magnification of VRS1 cluster shows the three different sub-clusters namely Arabidopsis clade, GT1 clade and VRS1 clade based on the presence of motifs 4, 16, 17 and 21. The values in green color are bootstrap probability, and gray colors are approximate unbiased *P*-value.

© 2017 The Authors.

The Plant Journal published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Experimental Biology., The Plant Journal, (2017), **91**, 601–612 motif is present in all members of the VRS1 clade except VRS1 itself. It indicates that HOX2 is the putative ortholog of rice LOC_Os07g39320 (OsHOX14) and maize GRMZM2G062244 (HOX107) (Sakuma *et al.*, 2010). Taking the expression pattern of *VRS1* homologs (Table S2) from the GT1 and VRS1 clade into account, the results suggest that VRS1, like all other clade members, is also involved in the development of leaves and leaf-derived structures (bracts).

DISCUSSION

VRS1 affects leaf width and establishes narrow leaves in two-rowed barley

Barley is highly suitable for the identification of causative gene loci underlying natural phenotypic trait variation using GWAS (Ramsay et al., 2011; Comadran et al., 2012; Algudah et al., 2014, 2016). Our GWAS analysis for LA in the spring barley panel identified two SNPs physically localized inside the VRS1 gene which highlights the importance of this gene in the natural variation of LA between two- and six-rowed types. The significant reduction of LA in two-rowed plants (wild-type) implied that the functional VRS1 allele is negatively associated with LA. The influence of total leaf number and tiller number on LA was excluded, as they were not significantly different at stage Z37 between six-rowed (mutant) and two-rowed (wild-type), or higher at Z55 in two-rowed types (Figure S1f). This suggests that the observed variation in LA is mainly attributable to either leaf width or length. It was then clarified from the independent phenotyping of vrs1 mutants and corresponding wild-types (showing wide and narrow leaves, respectively, Figures 1d,e and 2) that leaf width is the major contributor for the observed difference on LA between row-types. The LA difference from awn primordium to heading stage (Figure 1a) indicates the importance of the VRS1 gene for barley development, since this period of growth is characterized as 'the critical period of spikelet survival' (Algudah and Schnurbusch, 2014). Moreover, the larger LA at tipping (around anthesis) and heading stages (post-anthesis) of six-rowed barley may increase its photosynthetic and storage capacity, which themselves can improve spikelet formation, grain filling and subsequently grain yield. Lowered leaf mass area (LMA, i.e. weight per unit leaf area) has been shown to be associated with increased net photosynthetic capacity (Amax) and leaf nitrogen (N) (Reich et al., 1998). Interestingly, Algudah and Schnurbusch (2015) found that sixrowed barley (wide leaf) also had significantly lower LMA than two-rowed types under different growth conditions, implying that six-rowed forms may have higher leaf nitrogen (N) than two-rowed. The observed significant increase of leaf nitrogen (%) during the important pre-anthesis phase in six-rowed barleys supported this. Overall, the

increased LA could very well be linked with improved grain yield by reducing absolute spikelet abortion in six-rowed barley (relative spikelet abortion in two-rowed is approximately 30% and in six-rowed 42%), even though they produce three times more spikelets than two-rowed barley (Alqudah and Schnurbusch, 2014). The association of *VRS1* with grain number per spike in the GWAS panel supports the link between LA and grain number. The many positive effects of mutant *vrs1* (six-rowed) on larger LA, higher leaf nitrogen (%) and increased grain number per spike might have impacted negatively on tiller production (Figure S1c), which rather suggests a trade-off relationship (negative pleiotropic effect) with tiller number (Kirby and Riggs, 1978; Alqudah *et al.*, 2016).

The co-expression of *VRS4* (*HvRA2*) (in this study) also suggests its involvement during leaf development. It is also reported that *VRS2* influences leaf area, leaf and tiller number (Youssef *et al.*, 2017). Similarly, *INTERMEDIUM SPIKE-C* (*INT-C*, *VRS5*) has been reported to be involved in tillering at the juvenile stage (Ramsay *et al.*, 2011). All these reports strongly suggest that six-rowed spike genes (*VRS*) may also have an independent role in early vegetative development apart from their major role in spike architecture.

Two-rowed barely has impaired cell proliferation during early leaf development

Early leaf development is largely attributable to cell division (Scarpella et al., 2010); as VRS1 expresses during leaf primordia initiation and development, it may affect cell division. The wider P1 of vrs1 mutant (six-rowed) plants during early leaf development strongly suggested that two-rowed leaf primordia has impaired cell proliferation. An impact of cell expansion on leaf width can be excluded as the interveinal distance determined by the leaf width/ vein number ratio was found to be reduced in the mutant (Figure S2c) (Smillie et al., 2012; Hur et al., 2015), AtHB6, an HD-Zip I gene from Arabidopsis, was also found to be expressed specifically in leaf primordia and involved in cell proliferation (Soderman et al., 1999). It was previously shown in Arabidopsis and rice that proteins regulating cell proliferation during lateral-organ formation also influence the number of veins (Kang et al., 2007; Qi et al., 2008; Scarpella et al., 2010). These findings support our hypothesis that VRS1 acts during the early leaf development and contributes to leaf width and vein number. The reduction of maximum thickness in mutant P1 (Figure S3c) reiterates that the wider and thinner leaves of mutants are already defined during early leaf primordia development. The potential role of VRS1 in early leaf development was further supported by the identification of differentially expressed genes related to active cell division. Over-representation of genes like Werner syndrome ATP-dependent helicase related to helicase activity for more DNA replication (Knoll and Puchta, 2011), UDP-glycosyl-transferase related to enhanced biosynthesis of polysaccharides for cell wall formation (Lao et al., 2014) and MICROTUBULE ORGANIZATION1 involved in arrangement of microtubules, which exerts a mechanical strain for the proper cell division planes and cellular growth (Kawamura et al., 2006; Smolarkiewicz and Dhonukshe, 2013) were detected. Similarly, the enhanced expression of kinases like CRINKLY 4 RELATED 3 (CCR3) and GEMINIVIRUS REP INTERACTING KINASE 1 (GRIK1-like), involved in formative cell division signalling (Becraft et al., 2001; Baena-Gonzalez et al., 2007; De Smet et al., 2008), CLAVATA 3/ESR (CLE)-25 involved in the initiation of lateral-organ primordia (Jun et al., 2007), and the putative maize BRANCH ANGLE DEFECTIVE 1 (BAD1), that possibly promotes cell proliferation in lateral organs (Bai et al., 2012), were found in the shoot apex of vrs1 mutant plants. The phylogenetic analysis of VRS1 homologs from rice and maize with similar expression profiles, suggests their involvement in basic developmental processes (Table S2). It is known that homeobox genes (which contain the highly similar 60-aminoacid homeodomain) are evolutionarily conserved transcription factors that regulate basic developmental processes such as regional specification, patterning, and differentiation in animals, fungi and plants (Duverger and Morasso, 2008).

In conclusion, until now, only WOX3 was shown to be involved in the recruitment of leaf founder cells during early leaf formation (Scanlon, 2000). An attempt to explore the function of BROAD LEAF 1 in barley showed that it is not affecting leaf primordia development but rather leaf outgrowth (Jost et al., 2016). Results presented here show that leaf primordium size correlates with leaf width and vein number in vrs1 mutants. Moreover, the concurrent spatiotemporal expression pattern, wide leaf with more veins and alterations in down-stream gene expression support the hypothesis that VRS1 negatively contributes to the early development of leaf primordium in wild-type plants. i.e. two-rowed types. It can be speculated that VRS1 might suppress the cell proliferation of leaf founder cells that form the leaf primordia. The detected expression of VRS1 in the SAM without phenotypic alterations between rowtypes implies that: (i) VRS1 only exerts subtle effects, or (ii) participation of some yet unknown development promoting factors in the SAM. Clonal analysis of vrs1 mutant and wild-type plants during early leaf development could shed more light in the underlying mechanics of VRS1 during leaf formation. Our phylogenetic analysis suggests the importance of characterizing putative orthologs of VRS1 in rice, maize and wheat, which might have a profound impact on crop improvement by modifying canopy architecture. Additionally, the significant association of VRS1 with grain number variation and increased leaf nitrogen (%) during pre-anthesis development corroborates the essential link between LA and grain number and how it influences the yield of barley plants.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Plant cultivation

Plants were grown on the substrate containing four parts of autoclaved compost, two parts of 'Rotes Substrat' (Klasmann-Deilmann GmbH, Geeste, Germany), 1.6 parts of sand and 0.8 parts of peat. Grains were planted in either 54 or 96 well plastic trays and germinated in climate chamber or temperature controlled greenhouse for 4 weeks at 11°C day and 7°C night with 10 h light. After 4 weeks of growth, seedlings were transferred to pots (diameter 16 cm²) and allowed to mature in the greenhouse. Further growth conditions were divided into four phases: first phase at 14°C day and 9°C night with 12 h light for 4 weeks, second phase at 16°C day and 9°C night with 14 h light for 2 weeks, third phase at 20°C day and 12°C night with 16 h light for 2 weeks and final fourth phase at 20°C day and 14°C night with 16 h light until harvest. Plants were fertilized with 'Plantacote plus' (AGLUKON GmbH, Duesseldorf, Germany) (15 g/pot) during vegetative phase and with liquid fertilizer 'Hakaphos Rot' (AGLUKON GmbH, Germany) (once a week, 2-4%) from the start of spike development. For the association panel, 30 seedlings of each of the 218 accessions (125 two-rowed and 93 six-rowed) were germinated for 10 days under a 16-h photoperiod, 20°C/16°C, vernalized for 28 days (10-h photoperiod, 4°C), acclimated for 7 days (16 h photoperiod, 14°C/ 12°C), and finally transplanted into 0.5 L pots and grown in a areenhouse.

Genotyping and association analysis

The genotypic data required for the GWAS was obtained from a 9K Illumina SNP chip (Comadran et al., 2012) (Illumina's Golden-Gate Technology, Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Only SNPs having a minor allele frequency of the association panel of at least 5% were included (4320 SNPs). The genetic marker positions anchored by physical map positions for SNP markers based on Barke \times Morex RILs population sequencing (POPSEQ) populations were used (Mascher et al., 2013). The mixed-linear model (Yu et al., 2006) was chosen and Eigen analysis was employed to correct for population structure (Price et al., 2006). The significance level of the association *P*-value was determined by calculating the level of the false discovery rate (FDR) at ≥0.05%. To correct for multiple testing, FDR was calculated using GenStat v. 16 (GenStat, 2014) to exclude false-positive associations. More details about the approach of GWAS analysis were described previously (Alqudah et al., 2014).

Transgenesis

The VRS1 (AB259782.1, GI: 119943316) coding sequence was taken as a BLAST query to identify their promoter sequences. The promoter was amplified from the genomic DNA of cv. Bonus (tworowed barley), along with the 5'-UTR in the form of 991 bp for VRS1 promoter, which was inserted into the pCR4.0 TOPO TA plasmid (ThermoFisher SCIENTIFIC, Carlsbad, CA, USA) to produce pCR4.0 TOPO-VRS1 promoter. The insert was validated by sequencing and then amplified from pCR4.0 TOPO-VRS1 promoter using primers engineered to produce a BamHI restriction site at one end and a *Pst* site at the other end. Amplicons were then inserted into pNOS-AB-M (DNA Cloning Service, Hamburg, Germany) to produce the constructs pNOS-ProVRS1. The eGFP coding sequence was amplified from pFF₁₉eGFP (DNA Cloning Service) using Pstl and EcoRl restriction site containing primers, and the amplicon was then inserted into pNOS vectors to produce the expression units pNOS-ProVRS1::eGFP, which was transferred

© 2017 The Authors.

The Plant Journal published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Experimental Biology., *The Plant Journal*, (2017), **91**, 601–612

into p6U (DNA Cloning Service) using the *Sfi*l sites, and from then into barley cv. Golden Promise *via Agrobacterium*-mediated gene transfer (Hensel *et al.*, 2009). Transgenesis was verified by both a PCR and a Southern hybridization assay. The primer sequences employed are given in Table S3.

GFP assay

Shoot apices of presumed transgenic barley plants were collected from 10 to 12 days old T_1 or T_2 seedlings. Transverse and longitudinal leaf sections were cut by a razor blade, and GFP fluorescence was detected in these sections by the LSM 510 META or the LSM 780 confocal laser scanning microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Jena, Germany), following their excitation by a 488 nm laser line in combination with a 490–530 nm bandpass filter. The chlorophyll in the samples was detected by excitation with a 633 nm laser line in combination with 650 nm long pass filter and cell wall autofluorescence with 405 nm laser line in combination with 410–480 nm bandpass filter. In all samples authenticity of the GFP signal was analysed by photospectrometric unmixing using the Lambda detector.

Elemental analysis of carbon and nitrogen

Carbon and nitrogen analysis was carried out with an elemental analyser (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Langenselbold, Germany), which can also measure Hydrogen and Sulphur. The instrument was switched on about 3-5 h before the analysis, and the measurement was performed with carbon nitrogen (CN) mode. About 3-5 mg of ground and the frozen dried sample was weighed in a tin (Symbol Sn) boat, folded and placed in the autosampler. The boats containing the samples are combusted in the chamber with excess oxygen kept at 950°C, where it is mineralized by the catalysts. The various gases (CO2, H2O und NOx) formed from the combustion passes through a Sodium Hydroxide column packed with copper granules held at 550°C (reduction tube), where the remaining oxygen is bound, and nitric/nitrous oxides are reduced to N₂. All gases are removed at respective traps except the analytically important CO₂ and N₂, which are subsequently detected by the thermal conductivity detector. High-purity helium (Quality 5.0) is used both as a carrier and reference gas. Blank values are obtained from empty tin boats, and calibration is done by elemental analysis of standard substances (Acetanilide contains 10.36% N₂ and 71.09% C) supplied by the instrument's manufacturer.

qRT-PCR and microarray hybridization

RNA was extracted from the shoot apices of 11 days old cv. Bowman and BW-NIL (vrs1.a) seedlings using a plant mini RNA kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's protocol, and its quality and quantity assessed with a Nano drop device (Peqlab, Erlangen, Germany). A 500-ng aliquot was taken as the template for the synthesis of the cDNA first strand, primed by oligo(dT), using a RevertAid cDNA kit (ThermoFisher SCIENTIFIC, Waltham, MA, USA). Each genotype was represented by three independent sets of 80-90 shoot apices. The subsequent gRT-PCR was based on the Power SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo-Fisher SCIENTIFIC) and conducted in an Applied Biosystems 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR system (ThermoFisher SCIENTIFIC) following the manufacturer's protocol. Relative transcript abundances were obtained using $\Delta\Delta C_T$ method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001) and were normalized against the abundance of serine/threonine phosphatase PP2A transcript. The primer sequences employed are given in Table S3. The presence of a unique PCR product was verified by dissociation analysis and each qRT-PCR is

repeated at least three times. Each biological replicate was represented by three technical replicates. For the microarray procedure, the same RNA samples extracted from three biological replicates was used and the quality of the RNA was verified with a Bioanalyzer 2100 device (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The RNA was labeled through the application of a Low input QuickAmp Labeling kit (Agilent Technologies) and hybridized, following the manufacturer's protocol, to a custom-synthesized 60 k Barley Microarray (Agilent Technologies) (Koppolu et al., 2013). The resulting data were analysed using GeneSpring 13.0 GX software (Agilent Technologies). After quantile normalization and baseline transformation to the median of all samples, the probesets (genes) were filtered by Coefficient of Variation <50%, followed by Moderated T-Test and Bonferroni-Holm multiple testing corrections; finally, probesets passed the P-value cut-off ≤ 0.05 with the fold change of \geq 2.0 are taken for interpreting the data. Data sets are available at e!DAL (Arend et al., 2014) (https://doi. org/10.5447/ipk/2016/10, https://doi.org/10.5447/ipk/2016/10). GO terms of the Harvest35 assembly were annotated via UniProt and significantly up- and down-regulated genes were tested for enrichment using topGO (Adrian Alexa, 2010). Significance of enrichment was tested using the classic Fishers Exact test as implemented in topGO.

Phylogenetic and BLAST analysis

The HD-ZIPI protein sequences of Arabidopsis and rice were taken from TAIR and TIGR databases respectively, and their sequence IDs were from the earlier publications (Henriksson et al., 2005; Agalou et al., 2008). Barley and maize homolog proteins were collected from 'IPK Barley BLAST server' (http://webblast.ipk-gate rsleben.de/barley/viroblast.php) and 'Gramene database' (http:// www.gramene.org/) respectively. Phylogenetic analysis was done from the SALAD database, which performs the clustering based on the presence and the similarity of the evolutionary conserved motifs, extracted by using the MEME software (http://meme.sd sc.edu/meme/intro.html). The putative function of the differentially abundant transcripts and VRS1 orthologous proteins was derived from the NCBI BLAST (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi? CMD=Web&PAGE_TYPE =BlastHome), gramene and BARLEX (http://apex.ipk-gatersleben.de/apex/f?p=284:10) (Colmsee et al., 2015) databases.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by IZN (Interdisciplinary Centre for Crop Plant Research), Halle (Saale), Saxony-Anhalt, Germany, and was jointly funded by the DFG (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Germany) grant number SCHN 768/4–1 and the BMBF (German Federal Ministry of Education and Research, Germany) GABI-FUTURE Start Program grant number 0315071. We give special thanks to Jean-Michel Pape for the support of the image analysis. We thank Jana Lorenz, Mandy Püffeld, Gaby Einert, Annett Beyer, Sabine Sommerfeld, Sibylle Freist and the IPK field staff for their excellent technical assistance.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

V.T., A.M.A, R.K., T.S. and M.K. analysed the data. M.K., T.S., V.T., A.M.A and N.S. conceptualized the research and wrote the manuscript; A.M.A performed the GWAS analysis. A.M.A and R.K. were responsible for the phenotyping. A.G. provided the genotypic information used for GWAS. G.H. and J.K. created the transgenic barley plants, which were analysed by V.T. Microscopic analyses were performed by V.T. and T.R. GO analysis was performed by A.B.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

Figure S1. VRS1 affects grain number in two- and six-rowed population; phenotyping of leaf length, leaf number per main culm and whole plant along with tiller number in Z37 and Z55.

Figure S2. VRS1 might not affect vascular anatomy, however it likely influences vein number.

Figure S3. The width of SAM is not significantly different between mutant and wild-type and mutant has thinner leaf (Plastochron 1) compared to wild-type.

Figure S4. VRS1 promoter activity in epidermis and vascular bundles of matured leaves.

Figure S5. Gene ontology enrichment analysis of all expressed genes detected by 60k microarray hybridization.

Figure S6. Heat map of the significantly differentiated genes

Figure S7. Confirmation of the expression of selected genes from microarray analysis by qRT-PCR.

Figure S8. Alignment of amino acid sequences for the identified motifs from HD-ZIP I phylogenetic analysis.

 Table S1. Description and phenotypic analysis results of leaf area

 across the association panel of 218 spring barley plants.

Table S2. Expression of VRS1 and its homologs in different plant organs.

 Table S3. Primer sequences used for qRT-PCR and construction of the ProVRS1::eGFP transgene.

Methods S1. Phenotyping.

REFERENCES

Adrian Alexa, J.R. (2010) topGO: Enrichment analysis for Gene Ontology.

- Agalou, A., Purwantomo, S., Overnas, E. et al. (2008) A genome-wide survey of HD-Zip genes in rice and analysis of drought-responsive family members. Plant Mol. Biol. 66, 87–103.
- Alqudah, A.M. and Schnurbusch, T. (2014) Awn primordium to tipping is the most decisive developmental phase for spikelet survival in barley. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 41, 424.
- Alqudah, A.M. and Schnurbusch, T. (2015) Barley leaf area and leaf growth rates are maximized during the pre-anthesis phase. Agronomy, 5(2), 107–129.
- Alqudah, A.M., Sharma, R., Pasam, R.K., Graner, A., Kilian, B. and Schnurbusch, T. (2014) Genetic dissection of photoperiod response based on GWAS of pre-anthesis phase duration in spring barley. *PLoS ONE*, 9, e113120.
- Alqudah, A.M., Koppolu, R., Wolde, G.M., Graner, A. and Schnurbusch, T. (2016) The genetic architecture of barley plant stature. *Front. Genet.* 7, 117.
- Andrey, P. and Maurin, Y. (2005) Free-D: an integrated environment for three-dimensional reconstruction from serial sections. J. Neurosci. Methods, 145, 233–244.
- Arend, D., Lange, M., Chen, J., Colmsee, C., Flemming, S., Hecht, D. and Scholz, U. (2014) e!DAL–a framework to store, share and publish research data. *BMC Bioinformatics*, **15**, 214.
- Baena-Gonzalez, E., Rolland, F., Thevelein, J.M. and Sheen, J. (2007) A central integrator of transcription networks in plant stress and energy signalling. *Nature*, 448, 938–942.
- Bai, F., Reinheimer, R., Durantini, D., Kellogg, E.A. and Schmidt, R.J. (2012) TCP transcription factor, BRANCH ANGLE DEFECTIVE 1 (BAD1), is

vrs1 positively influences leaf width in barley 611

required for normal tassel branch angle formation in maize. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **109**, 12225–12230.

- Bar, M. and Ori, N. (2014) Leaf development and morphogenesis. Development, 141, 4219–4230.
- Becraft, P.W., Kang, S.H. and Suh, S.G. (2001) The Maize CRINKLY4 Receptor Kinase Controls a Cell-Autonomous Differentiation Response. *Plant Physiol.* 127, 486–496.
- Blonder, B., Violle, C., Bentley, L.P. and Enquist, B.J. (2011) Venation networks and the origin of the leaf economics spectrum. *Ecol. Lett.* 14, 91– 100.
- Capella, M., Re, D.A., Arce, A.L. and Chan, R.L. (2014) Plant homeodomainleucine zipper I transcription factors exhibit different functional AHA motifs that selectively interact with TBP or/and TFIIB. *Plant Cell Rep.* 33, 955–967.
- Cho, S.H., Yoo, S.C., Zhang, H., Pandeya, D., Koh, H.J., Hwang, J.Y., Kim, G.T. and Paek, N.C. (2013) The rice narrow leaf2 and narrow leaf3 loci encode WUSCHEL-related homeobox 3A (OsWOX3A) and function in leaf, spikelet, tiller and lateral root development. *New Phytol.* **198**, 1071– 1084.
- Colmsee, C., Beier, S., Himmelbach, A., Schmutzer, T., Stein, N., Scholz, U. and Mascher, M. (2015) BARLEX-the barley draft genome explorer. *Mol. Plant.* 8, 964–966.
- Comadran, J., Kilian, B., Russell, J., Ramsay, L., Stein, N., Ganal, M., Shaw, P., Bayer, M., Thomas, W. and Marshall, D. (2012) Natural variation in a homolog of Antirrhinum CENTRORADIALIS contributed to spring growth habit and environmental adaptation in cultivated barley. *Nat. Genet.* 44, 1388–1392.
- Comelli, P., Glowa, D., Chandler, J.W. and Werr, W. (2015) Founder-cell-specific transcription of the DORNRÖSCHEN-LIKE promoter and integration of the auxin response. J. Exp. Bot. 67, 143–155.
- De Smet, I., Vassileva, V., De Rybel, B. et al. (2008) Receptor-like kinase ACR4 restricts formative cell divisions in the Arabidopsis root. Science, 322, 594–597.
- Druka, A., Franckowiak, J., Lundqvist, U. et al. (2011) Genetic dissection of barley morphology and development. Plant Physiol. 155, 617–627.
- Duverger, O. and Morasso, M.I. (2008) Role of homeobox genes in the patterning, specification, and differentiation of ectodermal appendages in mammals. J. Cell. Physiol. 216, 337–346.
- Foley, J.A., Ramankutty, N., Brauman, K.A., Cassidy, E.S., Gerber, J.S., Johnston, M., Mueller, N.D., O'Connell, C., Ray, D.K. and West, P.C. (2011) Solutions for a cultivated planet. *Nature*, 478, 337–342.
- Fujino, K., Matsuda, Y., Ozawa, K., Nishimura, T., Koshiba, T., Fraaije, M.W. and Sekiguchi, H. (2008) NARROW LEAF 7 controls leaf shape mediated by auxin in rice. *Mol. Genet. Genomics*, 279, 499–507.
- Fujita, D., Trijatmiko, K.R., Tagle, A.G. et al. (2013) NAL1 allele from a rice landrace greatly increases yield in modern indica cultivars. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 110, 20431–20436.
- GenStat. (2014) VSN. GenStat for Windows. Hemel Hempstead: VSN International.
- Gonzalez, N., Vanhaeren, H. and Inze, D. (2012) Leaf size control: complex coordination of cell division and expansion. *Trends Plant Sci.* 17, 332– 340.
- Harlan, J.R. and Zohary, D. (1966) Distribution of wild wheats and barley. Science, 153, 1074–1080.
- Henriksson, E., Olsson, A.S., Johannesson, H., Johansson, H., Hanson, J., Engstrom, P. and Soderman, E. (2005) Homeodomain leucine zipper class I genes in Arabidopsis. Expression patterns and phylogenetic relationships. *Plant Physiol.* **139**, 509–518.
- Hensel, G., Kastner, C., Oleszczuk, S., Riechen, J. and Kumlehn, J. (2009) Agrobacterium-mediated gene transfer to cereal crop plants: current protocols for barley, wheat, triticale, and maize. *Int. J. Plant Genomics*, 2009, 835608.
- Hepworth, J. and Lenhard, M. (2014) Regulation of plant lateral-organ growth by modulating cell number and size. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 17, 36–42.
- Hershko, A. (2005) The ubiquitin system for protein degradation and some of its roles in the control of the cell division cycle. *Cell Death Differ.* 12, 1191–1197.
- Hirel, B., Le Gouis, J., Ney, B. and Gallais, A. (2007) The challenge of improving nitrogen use efficiency in crop plants: towards a more central

© 2017 The Authors. *The Plant Journal* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Experimental Biology., *The Plant Journal*, (2017), **91**, 601–612

role for genetic variability and quantitative genetics within integrated approaches. J. Exp. Bot. 58, 2369–2387.

- Hu, J., Zhu, L., Zeng, D. et al. (2010) Identification and characterization of NARROW AND ROLLED LEAF 1, a novel gene regulating leaf morphology and plant architecture in rice. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **73**, 283–292.
- Hunter, C.T., Kirienko, D.H., Sylvester, A.W., Peter, G.F., McCarty, D.R. and Koch, K.E. (2012) Cellulose Synthase-Like D1 is integral to normal cell division, expansion, and leaf development in maize. *Plant Physiol.* 158, 708–724.
- Hur, Y.S., Um, J.H., Kim, S. et al. (2015) Arabidopsis thaliana homeobox 12 (ATHB12), a homeodomain-leucine zipper protein, regulates leaf growth by promoting cell expansion and endoreduplication. New Phytol. 205, 316–328.
- Inzé, D. and De Veylder, L. (2006) Cell cycle regulation in plant development 1. Annu. Rev. Genet. 40, 77–105.
- Jiang, D., Fang, J., Lou, L., Zhao, J., Yuan, S., Yin, L., Sun, W., Peng, L., Guo, B. and Li, X. (2015) Characterization of a null allelic mutant of the rice NAL1 gene reveals its role in regulating cell division. *PLoS ONE*, 10, e0118169.
- Jost, M., Hensel, G., Kappel, C. et al. (2016) The INDETERMINATE DOMAIN protein BROAD LEAF1 limits barley leaf width by restricting lateral proliferation. Curr. Biol. 26, 903–909.
- Jun, J.H., Fiume, E. and Fletcher, J.C. (2007) The CLE family of plant polypeptide signalling molecules. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 65, 743–755.
- Kang, J., Mizukami, Y., Wang, H., Fowke, L. and Dengler, N.G. (2007) Modification of cell proliferation patterns alters leaf vein architecture in Arabidopsis thaliana. Planta, 226, 1207–1218.
- Kawamura, E., Himmelspach, R., Rashbrooke, M.C., Whittington, A.T., Gale, K.R., Collings, D.A. and Wasteneys, G.O. (2006) MICROTUBULE ORGANI-ZATION 1 regulates structure and function of microtubule arrays during mitosis and cytokinesis in the Arabidopsis root. *Plant Physiol.* 140, 102– 114.
- Kirby, E. and Riggs, T. (1978) Developmental consequences of two-row and six-row ear type in spring barley: 2. Shoot apex, leaf and tiller development. J. Agric. Sci. 91, 207–216.
- Klukas, C., Chen, D. and Pape, J.M. (2014) Integrated analysis platform: an open-source information system for high-throughput plant phenotyping. *Plant Physiol.* 165, 506–518.
- Knoll, A. and Puchta, H. (2011) The role of DNA helicases and their interaction partners in genome stability and meiotic recombination in plants. J. Exp. Bot. 62, 1565–1579.
- Komatsuda, T., Pourkheirandish, M., He, C. et al. (2007) Six-rowed barley originated from a mutation in a homeodomain-leucine zipper I-class homeobox gene. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 104, 1424–1429.
- Koppolu, R., Anwar, N., Sakuma, S. et al. (2013) Six-rowed spike4 (Vrs4) controls spikelet determinacy and row-type in barley. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 110, 13198–13203.
- Lao, J., Oikawa, A., Bromley, J.R. et al. (2014) The plant glycosyltransferase clone collection for functional genomics. Plant J. 79, 517–529.
- Li, W., Wu, C., Hu, G., Xing, L., Qian, W., Si, H., Sun, Z., Wang, X., Fu, Y. and Liu, W. (2013) Characterization and fine mapping of a novel rice narrow leaf mutant nal9. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 55, 1016–1025.
- Livak, K.J. and Schmittgen, T.D. (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods*, **25**, 402–408.
- Mascher, M., Muehlbauer, G.J., Rokhsar, D.S. et al. (2013) Anchoring and ordering NGS contig assemblies by population sequencing (POPSEQ). *Plant J.* 76, 718–727.
- Mascher, M., Schuenemann, V.J., Davidovich, U., Marom, N., Himmelbach, A., Hübner, S., Korol, A., David, M., Reiter, E. and Riehl, S. (2016) Genomic analysis of 6,000-year-old cultivated grain illuminates the domestication history of barley. *Nat. Genet.* 48, 1089–1093.
- Matsumoto, N. and Okada, K. (2001) A homeobox gene, PRESSED FLOWER, regulates lateral axis-dependent development of Arabidopsis flowers. *Genes Dev.* 15, 3355–3364.
- Nakayama, N., Smith, R.S., Mandel, T., Robinson, S., Kimura, S., Boudaoud, A. and Kuhlemeier, C. (2012) Mechanical regulation of auxin-mediated growth. *Curr. Biol.* 22, 1468–1476.

- Nardmann, J., Ji, J., Werr, W. and Scanlon, M.J. (2004) The maize duplicate genes narrow sheath1 and narrow sheath2 encode a conserved homeobox gene function in a lateral domain of shoot apical meristems. *Devel*opment, 131, 2827–2839.
- Price, A.L., Patterson, N.J., Plenge, R.M., Weinblatt, M.E., Shadick, N.A. and Reich, D. (2006) Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. *Nat. Genet.* 38, 904– 909.
- Qi, J., Qian, Q., Bu, Q. et al. (2008) Mutation of the rice Narrow leaf1 gene, which encodes a novel protein, affects vein patterning and polar auxin transport. Plant Physiol. 147, 1947–1959.
- Ramsay, L., Comadran, J., Druka, A. et al. (2011) INTERMEDIUM-C, a modifier of lateral spikelet fertility in barley, is an ortholog of the maize domestication gene TEOSINTE BRANCHED 1. Nat. Genet. 43, 169–172.
- Reich, P.B., Ellsworth, D.S. and Walters, M.B. (1998) Leaf structure (specific leaf area) modulates photosynthesis-nitrogen relations: evidence from within and across species and functional groups. *Funct. Ecol.* **12**, 948– 958.
- Sakuma, S., Pourkheirandish, M., Matsumoto, T., Koba, T. and Komatsuda, T. (2010) Duplication of a well-conserved homeodomain-leucine zipper transcription factor gene in barley generates a copy with more specific functions. *Funct. Integr. Genomics*, **10**, 123–133.
- Sakuma, S., Pourkheirandish, M., Hensel, G. et al. (2013) Divergence of expression pattern contributed to neofunctionalization of duplicated HD-Zip I transcription factor in barley. New Phytol. 197, 939–948.
- Scanlon, M.J. (2000) NARROW SHEATH1 functions from two meristematic foci during founder-cell recruitment in maize leaf development. *Development*, 127, 4573–4585.
- Scanlon, M.J., Schneeberger, R.G. and Freeling, M. (1996) The maize mutant narrow sheath fails to establish leaf margin identity in a meristematic domain. *Development*, **122**, 1683–1691.
- Scarpella, E., Barkoulas, M. and Tsiantis, M. (2010) Control of leaf and vein development by auxin. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 2, a001511.
- Smillie, I.R., Pyke, K.A. and Murchie, E.H. (2012) Variation in vein density and mesophyll cell architecture in a rice deletion mutant population. J. Exp. Bot. 63, 4563–4570.
- Smolarkiewicz, M. and Dhonukshe, P. (2013) Formative cell divisions: principal determinants of plant morphogenesis. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 54, 333– 342.
- Soderman, E., Hjellstrom, M., Fahleson, J. and Engstrom, P. (1999) The HD-Zip gene ATHB6 in Arabidopsis is expressed in developing leaves, roots and carpels and up-regulated by water deficit conditions. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 40, 1073–1083.
- Takai, T., Adachi, S., Taguchi-Shiobara, F. et al. (2013) A natural variant of NAL1, selected in high-yield rice breeding programs, pleiotropically increases photosynthesis rate. Sci. Rep. 3, 2149.
- Tian, F., Bradbury, P.J., Brown, P.J., Hung, H., Sun, Q., Flint-Garcia, S., Rocheford, T.R., McMullen, M.D., Holland, J.B. and Buckler, E.S. (2011) Genome-wide association study of leaf architecture in the maize nested association mapping population. *Nat. Genet.* 43, 159–162.
- Wei, X.J., Tang, S.Q., Shao, G.N., Chen, M.L., Hu, Y.C. and Hu, P.S. (2013) Fine mapping and characterization of a novel dwarf and narrow-leaf mutant dnl1 in rice. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 12, 3845–3855.
- Youssef, H.M., Eggert, K., Koppolu, R., Alqudah, A.M., Poursarebani, N., Fazeli, A., Sakuma, S., Tagiri, A., Rutten, T. and Govind, G. (2017) VRS2 regulates hormone-mediated inflorescence patterning in barley. *Nat. Genet.* 49, 157–161.
- Yu, J., Pressoir, G., Briggs, W.H. et al. (2006) A unified mixed-model method for association mapping that accounts for multiple levels of relatedness. Nat. Genet. 38, 203–208.
- Zadoks, J.C., Chang, T.T. and Konzak, C.F. (1974) Decimal code for growth stages of cereals. *Weed Res.* 14, 415–421.
- Zohary, D., Hopf, M. and Weiss, E. (2012) Domestication of Plants in the Old World. The Origin and Spread of Domesticated Plants in South-West Asia, Europe and the Mediterranean Basin (4th edn). Oxford: Oxford University Press.