

# Duality of cones of positive maps

Erling Størmer

(Communicated by Siegfried Echterhoff)

*Dedicated to Joachim Cuntz on the occasion of his 60th birthday*

**Abstract.** We study the so-called  $K$ -positive linear maps from  $B(L)$  into  $B(H)$  for finite dimensional Hilbert spaces  $L$  and  $H$  corresponding to a mapping cone  $K$  and give characterizations of the dual cone of the cone of  $K$ -positive maps. Applications are given to decomposable maps and their relation to PPT-states.

## INTRODUCTION

The study of positive linear maps of  $C^*$ -algebras, and in particular those of finite dimensions, has over the last years been invigorated by its connection with quantum information theory. While most work on positive maps on  $C^*$ -algebras has been related to completely positive maps, in quantum information theory other classes of maps appear naturally. In [6] the author introduced different cones of positive maps and defined what he called  $K$ -positive maps arising from a so-called mapping cone  $K$  of positive maps of  $B(H)$  into itself, not to be confused with  $k$ -positive maps for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . see section 2 for details of this and the following. In [1, Section 11.2], the authors introduced what they called the dual cone of a cone of positive maps, see also [9]. In the present paper we shall follow up this idea by studying the dual cone of the cone of  $K$ -positive maps. Our main result gives several characterizations of when a map belongs to a dual cone; in particular the result is an extension of the Horodecki Theorem [3] to general mapping cones. Then we show that the dual of a dual cone equals the original cone, and if the mapping cone  $K$  is invariant under the action of the transpose map, then for maps of  $B(H)$  into itself the dual cone consists of  $K^\sharp$ -positive maps for a mapping cone  $K^\sharp$  naturally defined by  $K$ . Applications are given to the most studied maps, like completely positive, copositive, and decomposable maps, and to maps defined by separable states and PPT-states. In particular it is shown that if  $P$  is the mapping cone of maps which are both completely positive and copositive, i.e.

maps which correspond to PPT-states, then the  $P$ -positive maps constitute exactly the dual of the cone of decomposable maps.

## 1. DUAL CONES

In this section we shall study certain cones of positive maps from the complex  $n \times n$  matrices  $M_n$ , denoted by  $M$  below, to the bounded operators  $B(H)$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ , which we for simplicity assume is finite dimensional. We denote by  $B(M, H)$  (resp.  $B(M, H)^+$ ,  $CP(M, H)$ ,  $Cop(M, H)$ ) the linear (resp. positive, completely positive, and copositive) maps of  $M$  into  $B(H)$ . Recall that a map  $\phi$  is *copositive* if  $t \circ \phi$  is completely positive,  $t$  being the transpose map. When  $M = B(H)$  we shall use the simplified notation  $\mathcal{P}(H) = B(B(H), H)^+$ . Recall from [6] that a *mapping cone* is a nonzero closed cone  $K \subset \mathcal{P}(H)$  such that if  $\phi \in K$  and  $a, b \in B(H)$  then the map  $x \rightarrow a\phi(bxb^*)a^*$  belongs to  $K$ . Since every completely positive map in  $\mathcal{P}(H)$  is of the sum of maps  $x \rightarrow axa^*$ , it follows that if  $\phi \in K$  then  $\alpha \circ \phi \circ \beta \in K$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in CP(H)$  - the completely positive maps in  $\mathcal{P}(H)$ . We also denote by  $Cop(H)$  the copositive maps in  $\mathcal{P}(H)$ . Let

$$P(M, K) = \{x \in M \otimes B(H) : \iota \otimes \alpha(x) \geq 0, \forall \alpha \in K\},$$

where  $\iota$  denotes the identity map. By [6, Lemma 2.8],  $P(M, K)$  is a proper closed cone. If  $Tr$  denotes the usual trace on  $B(H)$ , and also on  $M$  and  $M \otimes B(H)$  when there is no confusion of which algebra we refer to, and  $\phi \in B(M, H)^+$ , then the dual functional  $\tilde{\phi}$  on  $M \otimes B(H)$  is defined by

$$\tilde{\phi}(a \otimes b) = Tr(\phi(a)b^t).$$

We say  $\phi$  is  *$K$ -positive* if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is positive on the cone  $P(M, K)$ . It was shown in [6] that if  $K = CP(H)$ , then  $\phi$  is  $K$ -positive if and only if  $\phi$  is completely positive. Other characterizations will be shown below. We denote by  $\mathcal{P}_K(M)$  the cone of  $K$ -positive maps.

If  $(e_{ij})$  is a complete set of matrix units in  $M$  we denote by  $p$  the rank 1 operator  $p = \sum_{ij} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ij} \in M \otimes M$ , and if  $\phi \in B(M, H)$  we let  $C_\phi$  denote the *Choi matrix*

$$C_\phi = \iota \otimes \phi(p) = \sum_{ij} e_{ij} \otimes \phi(e_{ij}) \in M \otimes B(H).$$

Then  $\phi$  is completely positive if and only if  $C_\phi \geq 0$ , [2]. We shall show characterizations of other classes of maps by positivity properties of  $C_\phi$ . If  $S \subset B(M, H)^+$  then its *dual cone* is defined by

$$S^\flat = \{\phi \in B(M, H) : Tr(C_\phi C_\psi) \geq 0, \forall \psi \in S\}.$$

If  $\phi \in B(M, H)$  we denote by  $\phi^t$  the map  $t \circ \phi \circ t$ . Then  $C_{\phi^t}$  is the density operator for  $\tilde{\phi}$ , i.e.  $\tilde{\phi}(x) = Tr(C_{\phi^t}x)$ , see [7, Lemma 5]. If  $K$  is a mapping cone we put

$$K^t = \{\phi^t : \phi \in K\}.$$

Then  $K^t$  is also a mapping cone, and is in many cases equal to  $K$ .

We denote by  $\phi^*$  the adjoint map of  $\phi$  considered as a linear operator of  $B(H)$  into  $M$  associated with the Hilbert-Schmidt structure, viz.

$$\text{Tr}(\phi(a)b) = \text{Tr}(a\phi^*(b)), a \in M, b \in B(H).$$

We can now state the main result of this section.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $H$  be a finite dimensional Hilbert space and  $K$  a mapping cone in  $\mathcal{P}(H)$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_K(M)^\flat$  be the dual cone of the  $K$ -positive maps  $\mathcal{P}_K(M)$ . Let  $\phi \in B(M, H)$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_K(M)^\flat$ .
- (ii)  $C_\phi \in P(M, K^t)$ .
- (iii)  $\tilde{\phi} \circ (\iota \otimes \alpha^*) \geq 0, \forall \alpha \in K$ .
- (iv)  $\alpha \circ \phi \in CP(M, H), \forall \alpha \in K^t$ .

In [8] we showed a version of the Horodecki Theorem [3] which in the case when  $n \leq \dim H$  is equivalent to the Horodecki Theorem. We obtain this and more as a corollary to Theorem 1, thus showing that it can be viewed as an extension of the Horodecki Theorem to arbitrary mapping cones, see also [4]. Note that  $\mathcal{P}(H)$  is a mapping cone containing all others, see [6, Lemma 2.4].

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\phi \in B(M, H)^+$ . Then the following four conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{P}(H)}(M)^\flat$ .
- (ii)  $\iota \otimes \alpha(C_\phi) \geq 0, \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ .
- (iii)  $\tilde{\phi} \circ (\iota \otimes \alpha) \geq 0, \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ .
- (iv)  $\alpha \circ \phi \in CP(M, H), \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ .

Furthermore, if  $n \leq \dim H$  then the above conditions are equivalent to

- (v)  $\tilde{\phi}$  is separable.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{P}(H) = \mathcal{P}(H)^t$  the four conditions, (i)-(iv) are equivalent by the corresponding conditions in Theorem 1. By [8, Lemma 9], (iii) $\Leftrightarrow$  (v) when  $n \leq \dim H$ , proving the last part of the corollary.  $\square$

For the proof of the theorem we shall need some lemmas. The first can easily be extended to the general situation studied in [6].

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\rho$  be a linear functional on  $M \otimes B(H)$  with density operator  $h$ . Let  $K$  be a mapping cone in  $\mathcal{P}(H)$ . Then  $h \in P(M, K)$  if and only if  $\rho \circ (\iota \otimes \alpha^*) \geq 0, \forall \alpha \in K$ .*

*Proof.*  $\rho \circ (\iota \otimes \alpha^*)(x) = \text{Tr}(h(\iota \otimes \alpha^*)(x)) = \text{Tr}(\iota \otimes \alpha(h)x)$ . Hence  $\rho \circ (\iota \otimes \alpha^*) \geq 0$  for all  $\alpha \in K$  if and only if  $\iota \otimes \alpha(h) \geq 0$  for all  $\alpha \in K$  if and only if  $h \in P(M, K)$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

Recall that if  $\phi \in B(M, H)^+$  then  $\phi^t(x) = \phi(x^t)^t = t \circ \phi \circ t(x)$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\phi \in B(M, H)^+$ . Then*

- (i)  $\tilde{\phi}^t = \tilde{\phi} \circ (t \otimes t)$ .
- (ii)  $C_{\phi^t} = t \otimes t(C_\phi) = C_\phi^t$ .
- (iii)  $\tilde{\phi}^t(x) = \tilde{\phi}(x^t)$ .

*Proof.* (i) By definition of  $\phi^t$  and  $\tilde{\phi}$  we have

$$\tilde{\phi}^t(a \otimes b) = \text{Tr}(\phi^t(a)b^t) = \text{Tr}(\phi(a^t)b^{tt}) = \tilde{\phi}(a^t \otimes b^t) = \tilde{\phi} \circ (t \otimes t)(a \otimes b).$$

(ii) By (i) and the fact that  $C_\phi$  is the density operator for  $\tilde{\phi}^t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(C_\phi a \otimes b) &= \tilde{\phi}^t(a \otimes b) = \text{Tr}(\phi^t(a)b^t) = \text{Tr}(\phi(a^t)b^t) \\ &= \tilde{\phi}(a^t \otimes b^t) = \text{Tr}(C_{\phi^t} a^t \otimes b^t) = \text{Tr}(t \otimes t(C_\phi) a \otimes b). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $C_\phi = t \otimes t(C_{\phi^t})$ , and (ii) follows.

(iii) By (ii)

$$\tilde{\phi}(x^t) = \text{Tr}(C_{\phi^t} x^t) = \text{Tr}(C_\phi^t x^t) = \text{Tr}(C_\phi x) = \tilde{\phi}^t(x),$$

completing the proof. □

**Lemma 5.** *If  $K$  is a mapping cone, then*

$$P(M, K^t) = \{C_\phi : C_\phi^t \in P(M, K)\} = t \otimes t(P(M, K)).$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\iota \otimes \alpha^t(x) = (\iota \otimes t) \circ (\iota \otimes \alpha) \circ (\iota \otimes t)(x) = (t \otimes t) \circ (\iota \otimes \alpha) \circ (t \otimes t)(x).$$

Thus  $x \in P(M, K^t)$  if and only if  $t \otimes t(x) \in P(M, K)$ , if and only if  $x \in t \otimes t(P(M, K))$ , and the two cones are equal.

Each operator  $x \in M \otimes B(H)$  is of the form  $C_\phi$  for some map  $\phi \in B(M, H)$ . By Lemma 4(ii) and the above we therefore have that  $C_{\phi^t} = t \otimes t(C_\phi) \in P(M, K)$  if and only if  $C_\phi \in t \otimes t(P(M, K)) = P(M, K^t)$ , completing the proof. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.* (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) As before let  $p = \sum_{ij} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ij}$ , where  $(e_{ij})$  is a complete set of matrix units for  $M = M_n$ . Then  $C_\phi = \iota \otimes \phi(p)$ . By [6, Theorem 3.6], the cone of  $K$ -positive maps  $\mathcal{P}_K(M)$  is generated as a cone by maps of the form  $\alpha \circ \psi$  with  $\alpha \in K^d = \{t \circ \alpha^* \circ t : \alpha \in K\}$ , and  $\psi \in CP(M, H)$ . We thus have

$$\phi \in \mathcal{P}_K(M)^b \Leftrightarrow \text{Tr}(C_\phi C_{\alpha \circ \psi}) \geq 0, \forall \alpha \in K^d, \psi \in CP(M, H),$$

hence if and only if

$$0 \leq \text{Tr}(C_\phi(\iota \otimes \alpha)(C_\psi)) = \text{Tr}(\iota \otimes \alpha^*(C_\phi)C_\psi), \forall \alpha, \psi$$

as above, which holds if and only if  $\iota \otimes \alpha^*(C_\phi) \geq 0, \forall \alpha \in K^d$ , since  $(M \otimes B(H))^+ = \{C_\psi : C_\psi \in CP(M, H)\}$ . Thus  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_K(M)^b$  if and only if  $\iota \otimes \alpha^*(C_\phi) \geq 0, \forall \alpha \in K$  if and only if  $C_\phi \in P(M, K^t)$ .

(i)⇔(iii) By Lemma 5  $C_\phi \in P(M, K^t)$  if and only if  $C_{\phi^t} \in P(M, K)$ . Hence by (i)⇔(ii)  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_K(M)^b$  if and only if  $C_{\phi^t} \in P(M, K)$ , hence by Lemma 3, if and only if  $\tilde{\phi} \circ (\iota \otimes \alpha^*) \geq 0, \forall \alpha \in K$ , proving (i)⇔(iii).

(ii)⇔(iv) Recalling the definitions of  $C_\phi, P(M, K)$  and their properties we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_\phi \in P(M, K^t) &\Leftrightarrow C_{\alpha^t \circ \phi} = \iota \otimes \alpha^t(C_\phi) \geq 0, \forall \alpha \in K \\ &\Leftrightarrow \beta \circ \phi \in CP(M, H), \forall \beta \in K^t. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

We conclude the section by showing that taking the dual is a well behaved property.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $K$  be a mapping cone. Then  $(\mathcal{P}_K(M)^b)^b = \mathcal{P}_K(M)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi \in B(M, H)^+$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \in (\mathcal{P}_K(M)^b)^b &\Leftrightarrow Tr(C_\phi C_\psi) \geq 0, \forall \psi \in \mathcal{P}_K(M)^b \\ &\Leftrightarrow Tr(C_\phi C_\psi) \geq 0, \forall C_\psi \in P(M, K^t) \text{ by Thm. 1} \\ &\Leftrightarrow Tr(C_\phi(t \otimes t)(C_\rho)), \forall C_\rho \in P(M, K) \text{ by Lem. 5} \\ &\Leftrightarrow Tr(C_\phi^t C_\rho) \geq 0, \forall C_\rho \in P(M, K) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \tilde{\phi}(C_\rho) \geq 0, \forall C_\rho \in P(M, K) \text{ by Lem.4(ii)} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \phi \in \mathcal{P}_K(M). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

In the notation of [6]  $S(H)$  denotes the mapping cone consisting of maps of the form  $x \mapsto \sum \omega_i(x)b_i$ , with  $\omega_i$  states of  $B(H)$  and  $b_i \in B(H)^+$ . By [7, Theorem 2],  $\phi$  is  $S(H)$ -positive if and only if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is separable. It follows from Lemma 2.1 in [6] that  $\phi$  is positive if and only if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is positive on the cone  $B(H)^+ \otimes B(H)^+$  generated by operators of the form  $a \otimes b$  with  $a, b \in B(H)^+$ , which holds if and only if  $Tr(C_\phi x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in B(H)^+ \otimes B(H)^+$ . But by the above  $P(B(H), P(H)) = B(H)^+ \otimes B(H)^+$ . Thus  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}(H)$  if and only if  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_{S(H)}(B(H))^b$ , and by Theorem 6  $\phi$  is  $S(H)$ -positive if and only if  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}(H)^b$ .

## 2. MAPS ON $B(H)$

In the previous section we gave characterizations of the dual cone  $\mathcal{P}_K(M)^b$  of a mapping cone  $K$ . A natural question is whether  $\mathcal{P}_K(M)^b$  equals the cone  $\mathcal{P}_{K^\sharp}(M)$  for some mapping cone  $K^\sharp$ . In the present section we shall do this for maps in  $\mathcal{P}(H) = B(B(H), H)^+$  when  $K$  is a mapping cone invariant under the transpose map, viz.  $K = K^t$ . The cone  $K^\sharp$  is defined in our first lemma.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $K$  be a mapping cone. Let  $C^K$  denote the closed cone generated by all cones*

$$\iota \otimes \alpha^*((M \otimes B(H))^+), \alpha \in K.$$

Let

$$K^\sharp = \{\beta \in \mathcal{P}(H) : \iota \otimes \beta(x) \geq 0, \forall x \in C^K\}.$$

Then  $K^\sharp$  is a mapping cone, and furthermore

$$K^\sharp = \{\beta \in \mathcal{P}(H) : \beta \circ \alpha^* \in CP(H), \forall \alpha \in K\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\beta \in K^\sharp$  and  $\gamma \in CP(H)$ . Then clearly  $\gamma \circ \beta \in K^\sharp$ . If  $\alpha \in K$  and  $\gamma \in CP(H)$ , then

$$(\beta \circ \gamma) \circ \alpha^* = \beta \circ (\gamma \circ \alpha^*) = \beta \circ (\alpha \circ \gamma^*)^*.$$

Since  $K$  is a mapping cone, and  $\gamma \in CP(H)$ ,  $\alpha \circ \gamma^* \in K$ , hence  $\iota \otimes \beta \circ (\alpha \circ \gamma^*)^* \geq 0$ , so that  $\beta \circ \gamma \in K^\sharp$ , proving the first part of the lemma. To show the second part we have that  $\beta \in K^\sharp$  if and only if

$$\iota \otimes \beta \circ \alpha^*(x) = \iota \otimes \beta(\iota \otimes \alpha^*)(x) \geq 0, \forall x \geq 0, \alpha \in K,$$

which holds if and only if  $\beta \circ \alpha^* \in CP(H)$ , because a map  $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(H)$  is completely positive if and only if  $\iota \otimes \gamma \geq 0$  on  $B(H \otimes H)^+$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

We shall need the following rephrasing of Choi’s result [2] that  $\phi$  is completely positive if and only if  $C_\phi \geq 0$ .

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}(H)$  and  $\omega$  the maximally entangled state, viz.  $\omega(x) = \frac{1}{n}Tr(px)$ ,  $n = dimH$ . Then  $\phi \in CP(H)$  if and only if  $\omega \circ (\iota \otimes \phi) \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.*  $\phi$  is completely positive if and only if

$$0 \leq Tr(C_\phi x) = Tr(p(\iota \otimes \phi^*)(x)) = n\omega \circ (\iota \otimes \phi^*)(x), \forall x \geq 0.$$

Since  $CP(H)$  is closed under the  $*$ -operation, the lemma follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $K$  be a mapping cone and  $C^K$  as in Lemma 7. Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ . Then we have*

(i)  $\tilde{\phi}$  is positive on  $C^K$  if and only if  $\phi^{*t} \in K^\sharp$ .

(ii)  $\tilde{\phi}^t$  is positive on  $C^K$  if and only if  $\phi^* \in K^\sharp$ .

If  $K = K^t$  then  $\tilde{\phi}$  is positive on  $C^K$  if and only if  $\tilde{\phi}^t$  is positive on  $C^K$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\alpha \in K$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}(\iota \otimes \alpha^*(x)) &= Tr(C_{\phi^t}(\iota \otimes \alpha^*)(x)) \\ &= Tr(\iota \otimes \phi^t(p)(\iota \otimes \alpha^*)(x)) \\ &= Tr(p(\iota \otimes (\phi^{t*} \circ \alpha^*))(x)) \\ &= Tr(p(\iota \otimes (\phi^{*t} \circ \alpha^*))(x)), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\phi^{t*} = \phi^{*t}$ . By Lemma 8 it follows that  $\tilde{\phi} \geq 0$  on  $C^K$  if and only if  $\phi^{*t} \circ \alpha^* \in CP(H)$ , hence if and only if  $\phi^{*t} \in K^\sharp$ , by Lemma 7.

(ii) follows from (i) by applying (i) to  $\phi^t$ .

Assume  $K = K^t$ . If  $\phi^{*t} \in K^\sharp$  then by Lemma 7  $\phi^{*t} \circ \alpha^* \in CP(H)$  for all  $\alpha \in K$ , hence by assumption for all  $\alpha^{*t}, \alpha \in K$ . Thus  $(\phi^* \circ \alpha^*)^t = \phi^{*t} \circ \alpha^{*t} \in$

$CP(H)$ , hence  $\phi^* \circ \alpha^* \in CP(H)$  for all  $\alpha \in K$ . Thus  $\phi^* \in K^\sharp$ , so by (i) and (ii), if  $\tilde{\phi} \geq 0$  on  $C^K$  then  $\tilde{\phi}^t \geq 0$  on  $C^K$ . Similarly we get the converse implication. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $\pi: B(H) \otimes B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$  be defined by  $\pi(a \otimes b) = b^t a$ . Then the function  $Tr \circ \pi$  is positive and linear. Furthermore, if  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}(H)$  then*

$$\tilde{\phi} = Tr \circ \pi \circ (\iota \otimes \phi^{*t}).$$

*Proof.* Linearity is clear. To show positivity let  $x = \sum a_i \otimes b_i \in B(H) \otimes B(H)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (Tr \circ \pi)(xx^*) &= \sum Tr \circ \pi(a_i a_j^* \otimes b_i b_j^*) \\ &= \sum Tr(b_j^{t*} b_i^t a_i a_j^*) \\ &= \sum Tr(a_j^* b_j^{t*} b_i^t a_i) \\ &= Tr((\sum b_j^t a_j)^* (\sum b_i^t a_i)) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

so  $Tr \circ \pi$  is positive. The last formula follows from the computation

$$\tilde{\phi}(a \otimes b) = Tr(\phi(a)b^t) = Tr(a\phi^*(b^t)) = Tr(a\phi^{*t}(b)^t) = Tr \circ \pi(\iota \otimes \phi^{*t}(a \otimes b)).$$

$\square$

**Lemma 11.** *Assume that  $K = K^t$  for  $K$  a mapping cone. Then  $C^K = P(B(H), K^\sharp)$ .*

*Proof.* By definition of  $K^\sharp, C^K \subset P(B(H), K^\sharp)$ . Suppose  $y_0 \in B(H) \otimes B(H)$  and  $y_0$  is not in  $C^K$ . By the Hahn-Banach Theorem there is a linear functional  $\tilde{\phi}$  on  $B(H) \otimes B(H)$  which is positive on  $C^K$ , and  $\tilde{\phi}(y_0) < 0$ . By Lemma 9 and the assumption that  $K = K^t, \tilde{\phi}^t \geq 0$  on  $C^K$  as well, and  $\phi^{*t} \in K^\sharp$ . Write  $y_0$  in the form  $y_0 = \sum a_i \otimes b_i, a_i, b_i \in B(H)$ , and let  $\pi$  be as in Lemma 10. then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Tr \circ \pi(\iota \otimes \phi^{*t}(y_0)) &= Tr \circ \pi(\sum a_i \otimes \phi^*(b_i^t)^t) \\ &= Tr(\sum \phi^*(b_i^t)a_i) \\ &= Tr(\sum b_i^t \phi(a_i)) = \tilde{\phi}(y_0) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since by Lemma 10,  $Tr \circ \pi$  is positive,  $\iota \otimes \phi^{*t}(y_0)$  is not a positive operator, hence  $y_0$  does not belong to  $P(B(H), K^\sharp)$ , hence  $C^K = P(B(H), K^\sharp)$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

We can now prove the main result in this section, which shows that every map in  $\mathcal{P}_K(B(H))^b$  is  $K^\sharp$ -positive when  $K = K^t$ . Thus Theorem 1 yields equivalent conditions for  $K$ -positivity when  $K = K^t$ , by replacing  $K^\sharp$  by  $K$ ,

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $K$  be a mapping cone such that  $K = K^t$ . We then have  $\mathcal{P}_K(B(H))^b = \mathcal{P}_{K^\sharp}(B(H))$ , so that  $\mathcal{P}_K(B(H)) = \mathcal{P}_{K^\sharp}(B(H))^b$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1(iii)  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_K(B(H))^b$  if and only if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is positive on  $C^K$ , hence by Lemma 11 if and only if  $\phi$  is  $K^\sharp$ -positive, i.e.  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_{K^\sharp}(B(H))$ . The last statement follows from Theorem 6.  $\square$

### 3. DECOMPOSABLE MAPS

It was shown in [3] that a state  $\rho$  on  $M_2 \otimes M_2$  or  $M_2 \otimes M_3$  is separable if and only if  $\rho \circ (\iota \otimes t) \geq 0$ , i.e. if and only if it is a PPT state (equivalently,  $\rho$  is said to satisfy the Peres condition). They did this by using the fact that  $B(M_2, \mathbb{C}^2)^+$  and  $B(M_2, \mathbb{C}^3)^+$  consist of decomposable maps, i.e. maps which are sums of completely positive and copositive maps. We shall in this section show characterizations of decomposable maps which yield characterizations of PPT states.

Let  $D$  denote the set of  $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}(H)$  such that  $\alpha$  is decomposable. Then  $D$  is the closed cone generated by  $CP(H)$  and  $Cop(H)$ . Let  $P$  denote the set of  $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}(H)$  such that  $\alpha$  is both completely positive and copositive. Then we have

$$D = CP(H) \vee Cop(H). \quad P = CP(H) \cap Cop(H).$$

**Theorem 13.** *Let  $M = M_n$ , and  $D$  and  $P$  as above. Then  $D$  and  $P$  are mapping cones and satisfy the identities*

$$\mathcal{P}_P(M)^b = \mathcal{P}_D(M), \quad \mathcal{P}_P(M) = \mathcal{P}_D(M)^b.$$

Note that by [7, Proposition 4],  $P$  consists of the maps  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}(H)$  such that  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a PPT state. The proof of Theorem 13 is divided into some lemmas.

**Lemma 14.** *In the notation of Theorem 13 let  $E$  and  $F$  denote the cones*

$$E = \{x \in M \otimes B(H) : x \geq 0\} \vee \{x \in M \otimes B(H) : \iota \otimes t(x) \geq 0\}.$$

$$F = \{x \in M \otimes B(H) : x \geq 0, \text{ and } \iota \otimes t(x) \geq 0\}.$$

*Then the following conditions are equivalent for  $\phi \in B(M, H)^+$ .*

- (i)  $\phi$  is both completely positive and copositive.
- (ii)  $C_\phi \in F$ .
- (iii)  $\tilde{\phi} \geq 0$  on  $E$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $E$  and  $F$  are closed under the action of  $\iota \otimes t$ .

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Since  $\phi$  is completely positive  $C_\phi \geq 0$ , and since  $\phi$  is copositive  $\iota \otimes t(C_\phi) \geq 0$ . Thus  $C_\phi \in F$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) If  $x \geq 0$  then  $\tilde{\phi}(x) = Tr(C_{\phi^t}x) \geq 0$ , so  $\phi$  is completely positive. If  $\iota \otimes t(x) \geq 0$  then

$$\tilde{\phi}(x) = Tr(C_{\phi^t}x) = Tr(\iota \otimes t(C_{\phi^t})\iota \otimes t(x)) \geq 0,$$

so  $\phi$  is copositive.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) The same argument as for (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) applies.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) If  $x \geq 0$  then  $Tr(C_{\phi^t}x) = \tilde{\phi}(x) \geq 0$ . Similarly, if  $\iota \otimes t(x) \geq 0$  then

$$0 \leq \tilde{\phi}(x) = Tr(C_{\phi^t}x) = Tr(\iota \otimes t(C_{\phi^t})\iota \otimes t(x)) \geq 0,$$



hence  $\iota \otimes t(C_{\phi^t}) \geq 0$ . The proof is complete. □

**Lemma 15.** *Let  $K$  and  $L$  be mapping cones in  $\mathcal{P}(H)$  and  $M$  as before. Then*

$$P(M, K \vee L) = P(M, K) \cap P(M, L).$$

*Proof.*  $x \in P(M, K \vee L)$  if and only if  $\iota \otimes \alpha(x) \geq 0$  for all  $\alpha \in K \cup L$ , if and only if  $\iota \otimes \alpha(x) \geq 0$  whenever  $\alpha \in K$  or  $\alpha \in L$ , if and only if  $x \in P(M, K) \cap P(M, L)$ , proving the lemma. □

Note that we did not use that  $M$  is the  $n \times n$  matrices in the above proof, so the lemma is true for  $M$  replaced by an operator system.

**Lemma 16.** *Let  $E$  be as in Lemma 14. Then*

$$P(M, P) = P(M, CP(H)) \vee P(M, Cop(H)) = E.$$

*Proof.* Since  $P(M, CP(H)) = (M \otimes B(H))^+$  and  $P(M, Cop(H)) = \iota \otimes t(M \otimes B(H))^+$ , it is clear that  $P(M, CP(H)) \vee P(M, Cop(H)) = E$ .

If  $K$  and  $L$  are mapping cones with  $K \subset L$  and  $M$  is a finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra then clearly  $P(M, L) \subset P(M, K)$ , so clearly  $P(B(H), P)$  contains the right side of the lemma. Suppose the inclusion is proper. By the Hahn-Banach Theorem there exists a linear functional  $\tilde{\phi}$  which is positive on  $E$  and for some  $x \in P(B(H), P)$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}(x) < 0$ . By Lemma 14  $\phi$  is both completely positive and copositive, hence so is  $\phi^{*t}$ , so that by Lemma 10

$$\tilde{\phi}(x) = Tr \circ \pi(\iota \otimes \phi^{*t}(x)) \geq 0,$$

a contradiction. This proves equality of the cones. □

**Lemma 17.** *With the previous notation we have*

$$P(M, D) = F = \{C_\beta : \beta \in \mathcal{P}_P(M)\}.$$

$$\mathcal{P}_P(M) = \{\beta : C_\beta \in F\}.$$

*Proof.* By [6, Theorem 3.6],  $\mathcal{P}_P(M)$  is generated by maps  $\alpha \circ \psi$  with  $\alpha \in P, \psi \in CP(M, H)$ . By Lemma 15

$$F = P(M, CP(H)) \cap P(M, Cop(H)) = P(M, D).$$

Let  $\gamma \in D$ . Then, with  $\alpha$  and  $\psi$  as above,

$$\iota \otimes \gamma(C_{\alpha \circ \psi}) = \iota \otimes \gamma \circ \iota \otimes \alpha(C_\psi) = \iota \otimes \gamma \circ \alpha(C_\psi) \geq 0,$$

because  $\psi \in CP(M, H)$ , so  $C_\psi \geq 0$ , and  $\gamma \circ \alpha$  is completely positive since  $\gamma$  is decomposable and  $\alpha \in P$ . Thus  $C_{\alpha \circ \psi} \in P(M, D) = F$ . It follows that  $C_\beta \in P(M, D) = F$  for all  $\beta \in \mathcal{P}_P(M)$ . Since  $D = D^t$ , by Lemma 5

$$P(M, D) = \{C_\beta : C_\beta \in P(M, D)\} = \{C_\beta : C_\beta \in F\}.$$

By Lemma 16 if  $\beta \in B(M, H)$  then  $\beta \in \mathcal{P}_P(M)$  if and only if  $\tilde{\beta} \geq 0$  on  $E$ , so by Lemma 14, if and only if  $C_\beta \in F$ . Hence  $\mathcal{P}_P(M) = \{\beta : C_\beta \in F\}$ , hence

$$P(M, D) = F = \{C_\beta : \beta \in \mathcal{P}_P(M)\},$$

as asserted. The proof is complete. □

*Proof of Theorem 13.* This is immediate, since by Lemma 17  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_P(M)^\flat$  if and only if  $\tilde{\phi}(C_\beta) \geq 0$  for all  $\beta \in \mathcal{P}_P(M)$  if and only if  $\tilde{\phi} \geq 0$  on  $P(M, D)$ , i.e.  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_D(M)$ . The other identity follows from the first and Theorem 6.  $\square$

For the rest of this section we consider the case when  $M = B(H)$ .

**Theorem 18.** *Let  $D$  and  $P$  be as in Theorem 13. Then  $D = P^\sharp$ . Furthermore  $\mathcal{P}_P(B(H))^\flat = \mathcal{P}_D(B(H)) = D$ .*

*Proof.* To simplify notation let  $\mathcal{P}_P = \mathcal{P}_P(B(H))$  and similarly for  $D$ . By Theorem 13  $\mathcal{P}_P^\flat = \mathcal{P}_D$ , and by Theorem 12  $\mathcal{P}_P^\flat = \mathcal{P}_{P^\sharp}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{P}_D = \mathcal{P}_{P^\sharp}$ . Note that by Lemma 7

$$P^\sharp = \{\beta \in \mathcal{P}(H) : \beta \circ \alpha^* \in CP(H), \forall \alpha \in P\}.$$

hence  $D \subset P^\sharp$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}_D = \mathcal{P}_{P^\sharp}$ , a linear functional  $\tilde{\phi}$  is positive on  $P(B(H), D)$  if and only if it is positive on  $P(B(H), P^\sharp)$ . Since  $D \subset P^\sharp$  it follows that  $P(B(H), P^\sharp) \subset P(B(H), D)$ , hence from the Hahn-Banach Theorem that they are equal. Thus by Lemma 9 and Lemma 11  $\phi^* \in P^\sharp$  if and only if  $\tilde{\phi} \geq 0$  on  $C^P = P(B(H), P^\sharp) = P(B(H), D)$ , if and only if  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_D = \mathcal{P}_P^\flat$ .

Let  $\phi^* \in P^\sharp$ , so that  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_D = \mathcal{P}_P^\flat$ , hence by Theorem 1  $C_\phi \in P(B(H), P)$ , which by Lemma 16 equals the cone  $E$  in Lemma 14. Thus  $C_\phi = C_{\phi_1} + C_{\phi_2}$  with  $\phi_1 \in CP(H)$  and  $\phi_2 \in Cop(H)$ , hence  $\phi \in D$ , as is  $\phi^*$ . Thus  $P^\sharp \subset D$ , and they are equal. Since  $D$  is closed under the  $*$ -operation, so is  $P^\sharp$ , so by the above  $D = P^\sharp = \mathcal{P}_D$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

We conclude by showing the analogue of Lemma 8 for decomposable maps. When  $M = B(H)$  the result is a strengthening of the result in [5], which states that  $\phi$  is decomposable if and only if  $\iota \otimes \phi$  is positive on  $F$ .

**Corollary 19.** *Let  $\omega$  denote the maximally entangled state on  $B(H)$ , and let  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ . Then  $\phi$  is decomposable if and only if  $\omega \circ (\iota \otimes \phi) \geq 0$  on the cone  $F = \{x \in B(H \otimes H)^+ : \iota \otimes t(x) \geq 0\}$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 18 and Lemma 17  $\phi \in D$  if and only if  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_D$  if and only if  $\tilde{\phi} \geq 0$  on  $P(M, D) = F$ , if and only if

$$0 \leq \text{Tr}(C_\phi x) = \text{Tr}(\iota \otimes \phi(p)x) = \text{Tr}(p(\iota \otimes \phi^*)(x)) = \omega \circ (\iota \otimes \phi^*)(x),$$

for all  $x \in F$ . Since  $D$  is closed under  $*$ -operation, the corollary follows.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] I. Bengtsson and K. Życzkowski, *Geometry of quantum states*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2006. MR2230995 (2007k:81001)
- [2] M. D. Choi, Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices, *Linear Algebra and Appl.* **10** (1975), 285–290. MR0376726 (51 #12901)
- [3] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki and R. Horodecki, Separability of mixed states: necessary and sufficient conditions, *Phys. Lett. A* **223** (1996), no. 1-2, 1–8. MR1421501 (97k:81009)
- [4] M. Horodecki, P. W. Shor and M. B. Ruskai, Entanglement breaking channels, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **15** (2003), no. 6, 629–641. MR2001114 (2005d:81053)
- [5] E. Størmer, Decomposable positive maps on  $C^*$ -algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **86** (1982), no. 3, 402–404. MR0671203 (84a:46123)

- [6] E. Størmer, Extension of positive maps into  $B(\mathcal{H})$ , *J. Funct. Anal.* **66** (1986), no. 2, 235–254. MR0832990 (87f:46105)
- [7] E. Størmer, Separable states and positive maps, *J. Funct. Anal.* **254** (2008), no. 8, 2303–2312. MR2402111 (2009c:46083)
- [8] E. Størmer, Separable states and positive maps II. To appear in *Math. Scand.*
- [9] S.J.Szarek, E.Werner, and K.Zyczkowski, Geometry of sets of quantum maps: a generic positive map acting on a high dimensional system is notcompletely positive. arxiv:0710.1571v2.

Received November 4, 2009; accepted April 1, 2009

Erling Størmer

Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, 0316 Oslo, Norway.

E-mail: [erlings@math.uio.no](mailto:erlings@math.uio.no)

